The Influence of Oxygen Saturation on the Relationship Between Hemoglobin Mass and VO$_{2\text{max}}$
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ABSTRACT
Hemoglobin mass (tHb) is a key determinant of maximal oxygen uptake (VO$_{2\text{max}}$). We examined whether oxyhemoglobin desaturation ($\Delta$S$_{a \text{O}_2}$) at VO$_{2\text{max}}$ modifies the relationship between tHb and VO$_{2\text{max}}$ at moderate altitude (1,625 m). Seventeen female and 16 male competitive, endurance-trained moderate-altitude residents performed two tHb assessments and two graded exercise tests on a cycle ergometer to determine VO$_{2\text{max}}$ and $\Delta$S$_{a \text{O}_2}$. In males and females respectively, VO$_{2\text{max}}$ (ml · kg$^{-1}$ · min$^{-1}$) ranged from 62.5–83.0 and 44.5–67.3; tHb (g · kg$^{-1}$) ranged from 12.1–17.5 and 9.1–13.0; and S$_{a \text{O}_2}$ at VO$_{2\text{max}}$ (%) ranged from 81.7–94.0 and 85.7–95.0. tHb was related to VO$_{2\text{max}}$ when expressed in absolute terms and after correcting for body mass ($r = 0.94$ and 0.86, respectively); correcting by $\Delta$S$_{a \text{O}_2}$ did not improve these relationships ($r = 0.93$ and 0.83). Additionally, there was a negative relationship between tHb and S$_{a \text{O}_2}$ at VO$_{2\text{max}}$ ($r = −0.57$). In conclusion, across a range of endurance athletes at moderate altitude, the relationship between tHb and VO$_{2\text{max}}$ was found to be similar to that observed at sea level. However, correcting tHb by $\Delta$S$_{a \text{O}_2}$ did not explain additional variability in VO$_{2\text{max}}$ despite significant variability in $\Delta$S$_{a \text{O}_2}$; this raises the possibility that tHb and exercise-induced $\Delta$S$_{a \text{O}_2}$ are not independent in endurance athletes.

Introduction
VO$_{2\text{max}}$ is a critical factor for endurance exercise performance because it sets the upper limit for aerobic metabolism [1, 19, 20]. The primary limitation of VO$_{2\text{max}}$ is the rate of oxygen delivery to the working muscle [1, 24]; many physiological parameters that influence oxygen delivery during exercise have been described previously [1, 20, 23].

One parameter that influences VO$_{2\text{max}}$ is the total mass of hemoglobin in circulation (tHb) [35]. tHb influences VO$_{2\text{max}}$ both via its relationship with hemoglobin concentration ([Hb]) and arterial oxygen content (C$_{a \text{O}_2}$) [35], and via its relationship with total blood volume, venous return and ventricular filling, and maximal cardiac output [10, 18, 21, 35]. However, tHb and [Hb] are not the only factors that influence C$_{a \text{O}_2}$: arterial oxygen partial pressure and arterial oxygen saturation (S$_{a \text{O}_2}$) also influence C$_{a \text{O}_2}$. During high-intensity exercise, S$_{a \text{O}_2}$ can drop significantly in a variety of athletes; this condition is known as exercise-induced arterial desaturation (EIAD). When EIAD was initially described in the literature, it was thought that decreased arterial oxyhemoglobin concentration during exercise led to decreased C$_{a \text{O}_2}$. However, high-intensity exercise increases arterial blood temperature and can lead to plasma volume shifts and hemoconcentration; in individuals that do not experience EIAD, these changes can lead to an increase in C$_{a \text{O}_2}$ during high-intensity exercise [36], and therefore EIAD may simply prevent an increase in C$_{a \text{O}_2}$ during high intensity [15]. Regardless, it is clear that EIAD has a detrimental effect on VO$_{2\text{max}}$, because ameliorating EIAD by increasing the fraction of inspired
oxygen from 21 % to 26 % leads to an increase in VO2max only in individuals with EIAD [15].

At sea level, EIAD is uncommon in recreationally active subjects, but ~50 % of elite endurance athletes experience some degree of EIAD [25]. As altitude increases, EIAD is exacerbated in all individuals [22]. There is also significant interindividual variability in the severity of EIAD experienced during exercise, even within groups of similarly trained athletes [12, 26]. Therefore, assuming EIAD and tHb are independent, EIAD may influence the relationship between tHb and VO2max.

Although tHb and EIAD both influence oxygen delivery during exercise, to date there have been no studies looking at how these factors interact to influence VO2max. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine whether EIAD influences the relationship between tHb and VO2max in moderately to highly trained competitive male and female endurance athletes at moderate altitude (1,625 meters). Additionally, previous research has indicated that females may be more likely to experience EIAD than males due to anatomical differences [8, 9]. However, direct comparisons of EIAD between competitive, endurance-trained male and female athletes are lacking. Therefore, a secondary aim of this study was to compare severity of EIAD at moderate altitude in men and women after taking into account aerobic capacity.

Methods

Subjects

Seventeen female and sixteen male competitive endurance-trained cyclists and triathletes residing at moderate altitude (1,500–2,000 meters) took part in this study. Subjects were required to maintain moderate-altitude residence throughout participation in the study, and testing occurred at 1,625 meters. Endurance-trained was defined as cycling, running, and/or swimming for more than 10 h per week for men and more than 8 h per week for women over the month prior to inclusion in the study. All subjects had participated in at least one discipline-specific competitive race in the previous calendar year. At the time of the first visit, males were required to hold at a minimum a USA Cycling Category 2 or USA triathlon license; females were required to hold at a minimum a USA Cycling Category 3 or USA triathlon license. Subjects were screened to ensure that they were between the ages of 18–42 years old, free from known cardio-respiratory disease as assessed by the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire (PAR-Q), had not donated blood in the previous 8 weeks, and were non-smokers. Subjects were not excluded from the study if they had participated in short sojourns to sea level (<7 days) in the 8 weeks prior to participation in the study, because hemoglobin mass has previously been shown to be stable for up to two weeks following descent to sea level in endurance-trained moderate-altitude residents [28]. Females were screened to ensure they were not pregnant or breast feeding, and all females undertook a urine hCG test prior to participating (AccuMed, USA).

Experimental design

Because duplicate measurements reduce the typical error of a measurement by √2 [17], measurements of all primary outcomes (tHb, VO2max, and S2O2) were performed twice. On the first of four visits to the lab, written informed consent was obtained. In order to confirm that there were no changes in tHb throughout the study, visits one and four consisted of identical measurements of tHb, whereas visits two and three consisted of identical graded exercise tests (GXT) to measure maximal oxygen uptake and S2O2 during exercise. All visits were separated by at least one day, and for each subject, GXTs were performed at the same time of day, plus or minus one hour. This study was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards of the International Journal of Sports Medicine [16].

Total hemoglobin mass

Total hemoglobin mass was measured via the optimized carbon monoxide rebreathing procedure [27, 34] as described previously [32, 33]. Subjects were instructed to refrain from exercise for two hours preceding these visits due to the possible interactions between exercise and carboxyhemoglobin kinetics. For this study, the coefficient of variability was 2.7 % (95 % confidence interval: 2.2 %–3.7 %).

Graded exercise test

On visits two and three, subjects performed a maximal GXT on a cycle ergometer (Lode Excalibur Sport, Groningen, Netherlands). For these visits, subjects were instructed to arrive at the lab two hours postprandial, and were instructed to not consume alcohol or perform vigorous activity for 24 h prior to either GXT. Prior to the GXT, body mass was measured on a digital scale (Combics 1, Sartorius Weighing Technology, Göttingen, Germany) in cycling clothes and without shoes. Oxygen consumption and other metabolic parameters were measured via computerized open-circuit indirect calorimetry, which was calibrated according to the manufacturer’s specifications (TrueOne 2400, Parvo Medics, Sandy, UT, USA). Heart rate was measured using a heart rate monitor (Polar Electro, Kempele, Finland), and peripheral oxygen saturation was measured continuously via forehead pulse oximetry (Nellcor N-595, Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, USA). Forehead pulse oximetry was chosen due to its ability to determine S2O2 with relatively low bias and high precision compared to other non-invasive measurement options [37]. After five minutes of rest on the ergometer, baseline S2O2 was measured for one minute. Each subject was then allowed a 10-min warm-up period during which the subject rode at a self-selected power not exceeding the power output of the first stage of the GXT. Following the warm-up period, the subject put on a nose clip and began breathing through the open-circuit spirometry system. The GXT began at an individualized power output of 4 W · kg−1 for males and 3 W · kg−1 for females, rounded down to the nearest 20 W increment, and increased 20 W every minute until subjects reached volitional exhaustion or until cadence could not be maintained above 60 RPM. This sex-specific protocol was used in order to elicit volitional exhaustion in this subject population in about 10–12 min, which has been reported to be an optimal duration for determining VO2max [4]. During each GXT, all subjects reached an RPE > 17 and a HRmax within 10 % of age-predicted HRmax. As previously described, VO2max was calculated as the highest 30-s average oxygen consumption; S2O2 at VO2max was calculated as the average S2O2 during the same 30 s used to determine
VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max} [3, 32]. Peak power output was calculated as described previously using the following equation [3, 32]:

\[
\text{Peak power output} = \text{penultimate} - \text{stage power output} + \left(\text{power increase} \times \text{between stages}\right)
\]

Mild, moderate, and severe EIAD were classified as a \(S_a\text{O}_2\) at VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max} between 93–95 %, 88–93 %, and < 88 %, respectively [7]. The coefficients of variation for VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max} and \(S_a\text{O}_2\) at VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max} using these duplicate measurements were 3.5 % (95 % confidence interval: 2.8–4.7 %) and 1.4 % (95 % confidence interval: 1.2–1.8 %), respectively.

Saturation-adjusted tHb

To determine how saturation influences the relationship between tHb and VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max}, saturation-adjusted tHb was calculated as saturation-adjusted tHb = tHb \(\times\) \((S_a\text{O}_2\) at VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max}).

Statistics

To determine if there were differences between duplicate tests, dependent t-tests were used to compare measurements. In order to determine the degree of agreement between duplicate measurements, intraclass correlation coefficients were calculated; to assess the typical error of duplicate measurements, the coefficient of variation was calculated as a percent change [17]. To determine the relationship between tHb and VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max}, as well as the relationship between saturation-adjusted tHb and VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max}, simple linear regressions were performed. Linear regression models were compared using Williams t-test. To assess differences in \(S_a\text{O}_2\) at VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max} between sexes, multiple linear regression was performed with sex as a dependent variable. For all regression analyses, bivariate normality was assessed using Q-Q plots and Shapiro-Wilk tests on the residuals, homoscedasticity and linearity were assessed using scatter plots of normalized residuals, and autocorrelation was assessed using the Box-Pierce test. Unstandardized regression coefficients from linear models are reported using the symbol β. All analyses were performed in R, version 3.3.2 (R Core Team, Vienna, Austria), and alpha was set to 0.05. Trends were noted if 0.05 < \(p < 0.1\). Results are represented as the mean ± SD.

Results

Subject characteristics and measures of reliability between duplicate measures are given in ▶ Table 1. Of the 33 subjects who participated, 32 completed all experimental procedures; one female subject was withdrawn due to illness after completing the first two visits, so her results represent only a single measurement of each parameter.

There were no significant differences between tests for the following measures: tHb, body mass, \(S_a\text{O}_2\) at rest, \(S_a\text{O}_2\) at VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max}, desaturation from rest, maximum heart rate, maximum RER, peak power output, and maximum RPE (all \(p = \text{N.S.}\)). VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max} was significantly higher for the second GXT, both when expressed as L · min\(^{-1}\) (delta = 0.064 L · min\(^{-1}\), \(p = 0.048\)) and when expressed as mL · min\(^{-1}\) · kg\(^{-1}\) (delta = 1.21 mL · min\(^{-1}\) · kg\(^{-1}\), \(p = 0.02\)). The change in VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max} between trials was not different between males and females (\(p = \text{N.S.}\)). Although there was no significant difference in peak power output between tests, the difference in VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max} between tests was related to the difference in peak power output (\(p < 0.001, r^2 = 0.44\)), which indicates that there may have been a learning effect that took place between GXTs. The magnitude of the difference in VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max} between tests was small (< 2 %). To examine whether this difference influenced our conclusions, all f-

▶ Table 1  Subject Characteristics and Measurement Variability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male n = 16</th>
<th>Female n = 17</th>
<th>Coefficient of variation (%)</th>
<th>Intra-class correlation coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>25.6 ± 4.6</td>
<td>28.6 ± 6.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weekly Training Duration (hours)</strong></td>
<td>16.3 ± 5.4 (Range: 10-30)</td>
<td>13.5 ± 4.1 (Range: 8.2-25)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Body Mass (kg)</strong></td>
<td>69.7 ± 4.8</td>
<td>58.4 ± 5.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height (cm)</strong></td>
<td>182.1 ± 4.1</td>
<td>165.5 ± 5.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Absolute VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max} (L · min(^{-1}))</strong></td>
<td>5.12 ± 0.45</td>
<td>3.22 ± 0.43</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Normalized VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max} (mL · kg(^{-1}) · min(^{-1}))</strong></td>
<td>73.4 ± 5.4</td>
<td>55.2 ± 5.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RER at VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max}</strong></td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HR max</strong></td>
<td>184 ± 9</td>
<td>185 ± 11</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Peak Power Output (W)</strong></td>
<td>429 ± 26</td>
<td>292 ± 31</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} at Rest (%)</strong></td>
<td>98.18 ± 0.94</td>
<td>98.86 ± 0.87</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} at VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max} (%)</strong></td>
<td>88.8 ± 3.1</td>
<td>92.0 ± 2.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Desaturation from Rest (%)</strong></td>
<td>9.1 ± 3.5</td>
<td>6.9 ± 2.6</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Absolute tHb (g)</strong></td>
<td>977 ± 102</td>
<td>647 ± 100</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Normalized tHb (g · kg(^{-1}))</strong></td>
<td>14.0 ± 1.3</td>
<td>11.1 ± 1.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max}: Maximal oxygen uptake during graded exercise test; RER: Respiratory exchange ratio; HR max: maximum heart rate during graded exercise test; Peak Power Output: peak power output during graded exercise test; \(S_a\text{O}_2\): arterial oxyhemoglobin saturation; tHb: total hemoglobin mass. Weekly training duration was calculated from self-reported hours of endurance training per week over the month preceding inclusion in study. tHb parameters are the average of two measurements, whereas all graded exercise test parameters (VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max}, RER at VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max}, HR max, Peak Power Output, \(S_a\text{O}_2\) at VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max}, and Desaturation from Rest) are taken from the 2nd graded exercise test, because VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max} was significantly higher for this test.
ther analyses were performed twice, once using results from the average of both GXTs, and once using results from only the second GXT. There were no differences in any conclusion regardless of which variables were used, and therefore all results are presented from the second GXT, including VO₂max, SaO₂ at VO₂max, peak RER, and peak power output.

**Exercise-induced arterial desaturation**

At VO₂max, the average desaturation from rest was 9.1 ± 3.5 % for males and 6.9 ± 2.6 % for females. In males, SaO₂ at VO₂max ranged from 81.7 % to 94.0 %; in females SaO₂ at VO₂max ranged from 85.7 % to 95 %. Overall, 94 % of subjects experienced greater than 4 % desaturation from rest (95 % confidence interval: 80 %- 99 %), with no statistical difference between men and women (▶Table 2). SaO₂ at VO₂max was negatively related to VO₂max, both when expressed as an absolute (r = –0.58, p < 0.001) and when normalized to body mass (r = –0.55, p < 0.001). When split by sex, this relationship was observed only in the female cohort (for females, absolute: r = –0.59, p < 0.05; normalized: r = –0.62, p < 0.05). There was no significant difference in the severity of EIAD between males and females after accounting for VO₂max (p = N.S.).

**Relationship between tHb and VO₂max**

tHb was positively related to VO₂max when both parameters were expressed as absolute values (βₜHb = 5.07; r² = 0.88, p < 0.001; ▶Fig. 1a) and when both parameters were normalized to body mass (βₜHb = 4.70; r² = 0.73, p < 0.001; ▶Fig. 2a). When split by sex, the magnitude of the correlation decreased, but similar relationships were observed in both males (absolute: r² = 0.44, p < 0.01; normalized: r² = 0.32, p = 0.02) and in females (absolute: r² = 0.67, p < 0.01; normalized: r² = 0.42, p < 0.01).

**Saturation-adjusted tHb**

When tHb was adjusted by SaO₂ at VO₂max, this parameter was positively related to VO₂max, both when expressed as absolute values (β = 6.05; r² = 0.87, p < 0.001; ▶Fig. 1b) and when normalized to body mass (β = 5.65; r² = 0.68, p < 0.01; ▶Fig. 2b). When compared to the model between tHb and VO₂max, there was no significant difference between the amounts of explained variability, either for the absolute (p = N.S.; ▶Fig. 1) or for the body mass normalized models (p = N.S.; ▶Fig. 2).

**tHb and SaO₂ at VO₂max**

Across all subjects, when tHb was normalized by body mass, it was negatively related to SaO₂ at maximal exercise (r² = 0.22, p = 0.06) but not males (r² = 0.06, p = N.S.). After diagnostic testing of this model, this analysis was re-run after removing one male subject who exerted a high degree of influence on the original model. In the reduced data set, there was still a significant relationship between tHb and SaO₂ at VO₂max (r² = 0.202, p = 0.01). A secondary analysis of this data revealed that when only subjects who experienced an SaO₂ at VO₂max less than 91 % were analyzed (which included 15 subjects), r² increased from 0.32 to 0.48 (p < 0.01 for this model).

**Discussion**

The primary findings from this study are that 1) adjusting tHb by SaO₂ at VO₂max did not improve the amount of explained variability in VO₂max, and 2) after correcting for aerobic capacity, there was no difference in severity of EIAD between males and females.

**Table 2 Prevalence of Exercise-induced Arterial Desaturation.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EIAD Severity</th>
<th>Male (%) n = 16</th>
<th>Female (%) n = 17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>12.5 [1–38]</td>
<td>24 [7–50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>50 [25–75]</td>
<td>53 [28–77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>38 [15–65]</td>
<td>12 [1–36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>100 [79–100]</td>
<td>88 [64–99]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as percent [95 % confidence interval]. EIAD: exercise-induced arterial desaturation. Mild, moderate, and severe EIAD were classified as an SaO₂ at VO₂max between 93–95 %, 88–93 %, and < 88 %, respectively [3].

**Fig. 1** Relationship between VO₂max and a absolute total hemoglobin mass (tHb); b total hemoglobin mass adjusted by arterial oxygen saturation during maximal aerobic exercise, without taking into account body mass. No significant difference was found between regressions (p = N.S.).
Additionally, we found that tHb was negatively related to $S_aO_2$ at VO$_{2\text{max}}$.

The finding that $S_aO_2$ at VO$_{2\text{max}}$ did not explain additional variability in the relationship between tHb and VO$_{2\text{max}}$ was contrary to our hypothesis. If tHb and $S_aO_2$ at VO$_{2\text{max}}$ were both independent predictors of VO$_{2\text{max}}$, it would be expected that the relationship between tHb and VO$_{2\text{max}}$ would improve after taking into account $S_aO_2$ at VO$_{2\text{max}}$. However, accounting for $S_aO_2$ at VO$_{2\text{max}}$ resulted in no significant changes in the relationship between these variables, which indicates that tHb and EIAD may not be independent. This concept is supported by our finding that $S_aO_2$ at VO$_{2\text{max}}$ was negatively correlated to tHb (▶ Fig. 3). Although we do not have direct evidence to explain these results, there are two possible physiological explanations. One possibility is that individuals with high tHb may experience more severe desaturation during exercise due to the presence of high blood volume. High blood volume enables greater venous return and ventricular filling, and is therefore a prerequisite for high cardiac output; however, as cardiac output increases, pulmonary capillary transit time decreases, which is believed to be one of the predominate factors leading to exercise-induced hypoxemia and desaturation in athletes [6, 31].

Another possible explanation for this finding is that desaturation during exercise may influence the regulation of tHb. It is well documented that hypoxia can augment erythropoiesis and increase tHb [13]. Although very little research has been performed to directly study this issue, previous research indicates that hypoxemia during high-intensity exercise may interact with environmental hypoxia to create a larger erythropoietic stimulus in individuals who experience more severe EIAD. For example, in athletes with $S_aO_2$ at VO$_{2\text{max}}$ below 91 %, three minutes of maximal aerobic exercise at sea level was found to elevate serum erythropoietin (EPO) for at least 24 h following exercise, and were found to increase reticulocyte count 96 h following exercise, whereas individuals with $S_aO_2$ at VO$_{2\text{max}}$ above 91 % had no significant change in EPO or reticulocytes over time [29]. Additional research by the same group found that the increase in circulating EPO 24 h following high-intensity exercise was ~50 % higher when the exercise was performed in simulated normobaric hypoxia (2,100 meters compared to 1,000 meters) [30]. This finding was hypothesized to be a function of the significantly lower $S_aO_2$ during exercise in the simulated hypoxia compared to the control condition. To our knowledge, only one study has followed up on these results to examine whether high-intensity exercise performed in hypoxia can augment increases in hemoglobin mass over time compared to the equivalent training in normoxia. Brocherie et al. reported that 6 bouts of sprint interval training performed in hypoxia throughout a two-week live-high/train-low training camp increased tHb by 4 % on average, compared to a control group that lived high but performed sprint interval training in normoxia, which increased tHb only by 2.8 % (although these were not statistically different, in part due to low sample size).
[2]. Taken together, these results raise the possibility that individual variability in EIAD severity during exercise may be one factor that influences tHb in endurance athletes, especially over long durations of time or in athletes residing at moderate altitude. However, we do not have direct evidence to support this hypothesis, and therefore further experimental research is required to fully elucidate the relationship between EIAD and tHb.

Our finding that there was no difference in severity or prevalence of EIAD at moderate altitude between endurance-trained males and females is in contrast to our hypothesis. Previous work has identified that females experience more severe mechanical respiratory constraints during exercise compared to males [8, 14], which can exacerbate EIAD and has led to the hypothesis that females may be more likely than males to exhibit EIAD [5]. Our findings do not support this hypothesis. Our lab has previously published similar findings in endurance-trained male and female cyclists, where we reported no significant difference between males and females in SaO2 at VO2max at moderate altitude [3]. Interestingly, this study did find a statistically significant difference in the degree of desaturation in males versus females, but it was males, not females, that exhibited larger desaturation. One important caveat to this previous finding is that the statistical tests used for these comparisons did not take into account aerobic capacity, which is known to be a confounding variable for EIAD [5, 7, 8]. Taken together with previous work in this area, our findings seem to indicate that although females may generally have respiratory anatomy that increases susceptibility to EIAD, the severity of this phenomenon depends upon a complex interplay of several physiological, anatomical, and environmental (i.e., altitude) factors, some of which seem to be of different importance in males versus females.

One strength of this study was that we were able to examine a relatively large sample of both male and female endurance athletes across a range of abilities. This can help to explain the finding that VO2max increased between GXTs. This finding is not unprecedented; for example, Edgett et al. recently analyzed the reliability of VO2max measured over three GXTs performed on a cycle ergometer in 45 recreationally active adults, using a similar exercise protocol to this study [11]. This group found an increase of 0.066 L O2 * min⁻¹ between the first and second GXT, and an increase of 0.030 L O2 * min⁻¹ between the second and third GXT (although only the first and third were statistically different). Interestingly, the magnitude of the change in VO2max between the first and second GXT is almost identical to the statistically significant increase of 0.064 L O2 * min⁻¹ that we found in the current work. Although this increase in VO2max was statistically significant, the small magnitude of this change (<2%) is likely not of practical significance and did not have an effect on our conclusions. However, this finding is important because it helps to quantify the magnitude of the learning effect during graded exercise tests on VO2max.

One limitation of this study is that despite the relatively large sample size, it is still possible that SaO2 explains a small but significant portion of the variability in the relationship between tHb and VO2max that we were underpowered to detect. Another limitation of this study is that we did not directly measure arterial oxygen saturation or oxygen content. Although pulse oximetry has previously been shown to reliably measure SaO2 during exercise [37], direct measurements of arterial blood gas, pH, and temperature during exercise could lead to further insights on this topic. Finally, it is difficult to determine causal relationships when looking at cross-sectional data. An additional factor that may influence the relationship between tHb and VO2max is maximal cardiac output, and future research is therefore required on this subject.

Conclusions

At moderate altitude, over 90% of endurance-trained males and females experienced EIAD. Despite a wide range of exercise-induced desaturation values, taking into account SaO2 at VO2max did not improve the relationship between tHb and VO2max at moderate altitude. This finding may be in part due to a relationship between oxyhemoglobin desaturation during exercise and tHb, which warrants further investigation. Future research is required to determine how other physiological parameters, such as cardiac output, influence the relationship between tHb and VO2max.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded in part by a Student Research Award from the Rocky Mountain Chapter of the American College of Sports Medicine, as well as a University of Colorado Boulder Graduate Student Grant. The authors would like to thank Dillon Frisco and Peter Kim for their assistance with this study.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References


