Ultrasonography for the Diagnosis of Carpal Tunnel Syndrome in Diabetic Patients: Missing the Mark?

Ultrasonographie zur Diagnose des Karpaltunnelsyndroms bei Patienten mit Diabetes: Treffen wir ins Ziel?
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ZUSAMMENFASSUNG
Ziel Diabetes mellitus (DM) und das Karpaltunnelsyndrom (CTS) sind häufige Erkrankungen. Die sonografische Diagnose des CTS basiert auf der Wrist-to-Forearm-Ratio (WFR) des Nerven. Kann man aber die WFR bei Patienten mit DM anwenden?


Ergebnisse Patienten mit DM und CTS hatten signifikant niedrigere WFR-Werte als Patienten ohne DM mit CTS (p = 0,06). Die diagnostische Genauigkeit zwischen Patienten mit DM und Patienten mit DM und ohne CTS war mit der WFR niedrig (ROC AUC = 0,630, 95 % CI 0,541 – 0,715, p = 0,011).


Kernaussagen
▪ Die diagnostische Genauigkeit der WFR ist bei Diabetikern niedrig
▪ Die WFR sollte bei Patienten mit DM nicht verwendet werden
▪ Die sonografische Beurteilung des Nervus medianus sollte bei Patienten mit DM den Schwerpunkt auf morphologische Veränderungen legen

ABSTRACT
Purpose Diabetes mellitus (DM) and carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) are common pathologies. The diagnosis of CTS can be facilitated by the use of an ultrasound-based wrist-to-forearm ratio (WFR) of the nerve diameter. However, the applicability of WFR in DM-patients is not yet clear.

Materials and Methods 233 wrists of 153 patients were examined. Cross-sectional areas (CSA) of the median nerve were obtained using a linear array probe. The WFR was calculated.

Results Diabetics with CTS had significantly lower WFR values than non-diabetics with CTS (p = 0,002). There was no difference between the WFR of diabetics with and without CTS (p = 0,06). The diagnostic accuracy between diabetics with and without CTS was low for measurements of WFR (ROC AUC = 0,630, 95 % CI 0,541 – 0,715, p = 0,011).

Conclusion Our findings suggest that the WFR has a low diagnostic accuracy in diabetic patients with CTS and should be used with caution in those patients.

Key Points
▪ The diagnostic accuracy of WFR is low in patients with DM
▪ WFR should not be used in patients with DM
▪ The sonographic evaluation of the median nerve in patients with DM should focus on morphological changes
Introduction

Carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) is the most frequent nerve entrapment syndrome and accounts for over 90 % of all entrapment neuropathies [1–3]. It has a prevalence of 14.4 % [4] and is diagnosed predominantly clinically. The diagnosis is supported by nerve conduction studies (NCS) and ultrasound (US) whereupon some authors even propose the use of US as an alternative to NCS [5]. There are different approaches to diagnose a CTS using ultrasound, most of which rely on an increased cross-sectional area (CSA) of the WFR is suitable also in diabetic patients.

It is unclear whether DM has an effect on nerve size or not. Watanabe et al. compared the CSA of peripheral nerves in diabetic patients [15] while another study presented contradictory results such as an increase in the CSA of median nerves in patients with diabetic PNP [16] compared with healthy controls [16]. Dyke et al. described morphometric abnormalities in the microvessels of nerves with relation to DM [17]. A recent study has shown that nerves are on average slightly enlarged compared to healthy subjects in patients with DM [18]. Nerve enlargement has been found in other neuropathies such as hereditary neuropathies like POEMS or metachromatic leukodystrophy or acquired conditions such as leprosy or sarcoidosis [19]. WFR is, among others, a widely used method to sonographically support the diagnosis of CTS or even exclude the necessity for surgical repair and thus triages patients. It is present-

In this retrospective study based on our established standardized US assessment daily routine algorithm, we analyzed 277 wrists in 156 patients (average age: 64.3 ± 13.8 years, range: 25 to 93 years). Among those, 92 were female and 64 male. Patients had been examined between March 2010 and December 2015. Institutional review board approval was granted by means of a general waiver for studies with retrospective data analysis (Ethikkommission, Med. Univ. Innsbruck; 2009/02/20). Three groups of patients were included: Patients with clinically and electrophysiologically diagnosed CTS and no history of DM (Group DM–CTS+, 30 wrists), patients with DM and no history of CTS (Group DM+CTS–, 40 wrists), and patients with DM and CTS (Group DM+CTS+ 207 wrists). Patients were classified as suffering from DM II when insulin-dependent type II diabetes (according to clinical records) and a history of elevated Hba1c levels were evident.

All ultrasound assessments had been performed in a standardized fashion with a Philips iU22 or a Philips Epiq 7 machine (Philips, Bothell, Washington, USA) using a 12–5 MHz, 17–5 MHz or 18–5 MHz broadband linear array probe under standard presets dependent on availability and imaging requirements. The patients sat opposite the examiner, and the relevant arm was conveniently flexed with the wrist resting in a supinated position on a cushion so that the palmar part of the wrist and the forearm were accessible. CSA measurements of the median nerve were obtained in a standardized fashion at the median nerve’s maximum swelling point, which was located at the proximal border of the flexor retinaculum. In order to calculate the WFR, the CSA was determined at the forearm 12 cm proximal to the level of the pronator quadratus muscle (PQ) as proposed by Hobson-Webb [11]. CSA’s were measured by free-hand tracing of the median nerve including the hyperechoic epineurium using the on-board measurement tools. The wrist-to-forearm ratio was then calculated.

All images were stored in the institution’s PACS (Agfa Impax, Agfa Healthcare, Mortsel, Belgium). Information on patient age, sex, most recent Hba1c in % (available in 133 patients) and clinical diagnosis of PNP was extracted from the hospital information system.

Materials and Methods

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed in GraphPad Prism Pro 6.05 (GraphPad Software Inc.; La Jolla, CA, USA) and SPSS version 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). P-values < 0.05 were considered significant and 95 % confidence intervals are given where appropriate.

Descriptive analysis was performed on all patients and subgroups. Group differences were calculated by a chi-square test in case of categorical variables, and by a two-sided t-test or a one-
way ANOVA with a Bonferroni-correction for multiple testing in case of continuous variables.

Box plots were generated to visualize the distribution of WFR and CSAs at the CT and at the PQ among asymptomatic diabetics, non-diabetic patients and diabetic patients with CTS. To compare the distribution of median nerve CSAs at the CT and PQ and WFR were analyzed on a wrist basis with a one-way ANOVA with a Bonferroni-correction for multiple comparisons after log2-transformation of the data set to achieve a Gaussian distribution (D’Agostino-Pearson omnibus-test for normality > 0.05 for each data set).

Additionally, the correlation between HbA1c levels and WFR/median nerve CSAs at the CT and PQ was visualized through scatter plots. A linear regression analysis was performed to detect correlations. Results are given as slope and R².

Finally receiver-operating characteristics (ROC) curves were used to assess the diagnostic accuracy of WFR and CSA measurements in the identification of patients with CTS. The results are given as area under the curve (AUC), sensitivity, specificity and likelihood ratio (LR). Ideal cut-offs were determined by means of Youden indices.

Results

Cohort characteristics

As described above, the patient wrists were grouped in three categories: Wrist from patients with clinically and electrophysiologically diagnosed CTS (Group DM–CTS), patients with DM (Group DM+CTS–) and patients with DM and CTS (Group DM+CTS+). Details on group characteristics are provided in ▶ Table 1.

There was no significant difference in regard to age between the three groups. There were significantly more male patients in Group DM. In Group DM more patients were diagnosed with PNP than in the other groups.

### Median nerve measurements

There were no significant differences between median nerve CSAs of Group DM–CTS+ (20.3 ± 7.4 mm²) and Group DM+CTS– (18.8 ± 5.0 mm², p > 0.999) and Group DM+CTS+ (18.3 ± 7.4 mm², p = 0.354). The difference between CT CSAs did not differ significantly between Group DM+CTS+ and Group DM+CTS– (p > 0.999).

Furthermore, Group DM+CTS– had a significantly higher median nerve CSA at the PQ (10.6 ± 1.9 mm²) than Group CTS (8.8 ± 2.6 mm², p = 0.003) and Group DM+CTS+ (9.3 ± 3.0 mm², p = 0.013).

Both Group DM+CTS– (1.79 ± 0.48) and Group DM+CTS+ (2.04 ± 0.66, p = 0.002) had significantly lower WFR values than Group DM+CTS+ (2.37 ± 0.75, p = 0.023), yet there was no significant difference between the WFR values of Group DM+CTS– and Group DM+CTS+ (p = 0.154) (▶ Fig. 1).

Grouped by HbA1c levels, no significant difference in regard to WFR, CSA at the CT or at the PQ could be observed except for significantly higher values for median nerve CSA at the PQ (p = 0.034) and significantly lower values for WFR (p = 0.008) in Group DM+CTS– compared to Group DM+CTS+. Linear regression showed no correlation between HbA1c levels and WFR (0.028 ± 0.031, p = 0.84, R² = 0.0001), median nerve CSAs at the CT (0.557 ± 0.287, p = 0.06, R² = 0.0107) or PQ (0.098 ± 0.128, p = 0.443, R² = 0.0040).

### Diagnostic accuracy of median nerve measurements

Diagnostic power to discriminate between Group DM+CTS+ and Group DM+CTS– was low both for measurements of WFR (ROC AUC = 0.630, 95 % CI 0.541–0.715, p = 0.011) and median nerve CSA at the CT (ROC AUC = 0.541, 95 % CI 0.455–0.627, p = 0.413) (see ▶ Fig. 2). At a WFR cut-off of 1.4 (as suggested by the literature), the sensitivity was 84.5 % (95 % CI 78.8–89.1 %), the specificity was 20.0 % (95 % CI 9.1–35.7 %) and the likelihood ratio was 1.056. At a cut-off value of 16 mm² median nerve CSA at the carpal tunnel (determined by the highest Youden index), the sensitivity was 70.0 % (95 % CI 53.5–83.4 %), the specificity was 43.2 % (95 % CI 36.3–50.3 %) and the likelihood ratio was 1.232.
Discussion

We found that patients with DM had a larger proximal CSA and a lower WFR than patients without DM. However, due to the size of our cohorts, the data is not sufficient to confirm Watanabe’s findings regarding enlargement of the median nerve in diabetic patients. Probably, further studies with even more participants on this topic are needed.

We used HbA1c levels as a marker for severity of DM. We were unable to detect a significant correlation between HbA1c levels and nerve CSA, even though there was a trend towards a greater CSA at the PQ in diabetic patients. This may be due to several reasons: our samples were very heterogeneous, we did not record duration of DM in diabetic patients, and we did not have sufficient records of older HbA1c levels from our patients.

As described above, the WFR is a ratio of the CSA measured at the CT and at the forearm, i.e., the nerve is its own control regarding a possible enlargement of CSA at CT. As we know, this enlargement occurs in non-diabetic patients with CTS [20–22]. Our data shows no significant difference in WFR between patients with DM and without CTS and patients with DM and CTS. Therefore it is impossible to diagnose CTS by means of US in diabetic patients. This finding is underlined by the ROC curves, which show that the chance to diagnose CTS in diabetic patients using WFR is like “flipping a coin”.

We postulate the following mechanism to be responsible for these findings: The nerve is diffusely enlarged in the forearm in diabetic patients as found by Watanabe and colleagues [16]. Therefore, in diabetic patients the CSA at the forearm is preliminarily larger than in healthy controls. Enlargement of the median nerve in the CT, the site of entrapment in the case of CTS, is probably limited (e.g., by the epineurium or surrounding anatomic structures such as the subcutaneous fascia or even the transverse...
carpal ligament?). Although the difference between the CSA at the forearm and the CT remains smaller, i.e. the WFR remains little, these patients may suffer from otherwise (clinically) clear CTS without pathologic ratio of the CSAs of the median nerve in the forearm. Because of this effect, pathologic WFR used for non-diabetic patients will underestimate the likelihood of CTS in diabetic subjects.

Our study has several limitations. We have chosen a retrospective study design. As with all retrospective investigations, a pre-selection bias cannot be ruled out. However, the retrospective design enabled us to assess a rather large number of patients. Unfortunately our groups were heterogeneous. Even though there was no significant difference in age, we had more male patients in Group DM–CTS+. Due to the heterogeneity of our samples, we are unable to deduce reliably more information from our data than those regarding the WFR.

As a consequence to these findings, neither WFR nor CSA seems to be appropriate in diabetic patients for US confirmation of CTS. It has to be mentioned that prospective studies have to be carried out to confirm our findings. The extent of nerve enlargement in diabetic patients remains unknown. “Normal” CSA values for diabetic patients, including correlation to HbA1c levels, are needed. Studies on this topic would be highly desirable to elucidate this relevant field of nerve pathology.

**ABBREVIATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSA</td>
<td>cross-sectional area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>carpal tunnel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTS</td>
<td>carpal tunnel syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c</td>
<td>hemoglobin A1c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCS</td>
<td>nerve conduction studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNP</td>
<td>polyneuropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQ</td>
<td>pronator quadratus muscle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>ultrasound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFR</td>
<td>wrist-to-forearm ratio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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