Contrast Media Extravasation in CT and MRI – A Literature Review and Strategies for Therapy
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ABSTRACT

Background Contrast extravasation events in daily radiological routine may lead to serious complications, especially during CT examinations. The resulting symptoms may vary from local pain up to skin ulcers, necrosis or even acute compartment syndrome. There are no uniformly accepted radiological guidelines or recommendations regarding detection and treatment of extravasation events and imminent complications in a timely manner.

Method Systematic literature research considering the last 35 years via PubMed using search terms “contrast medium extravasation/paravasation”.

Results In the literature, there are conservative management approaches of contrast media extravasation without major evidence base, such as unguent dressings, cooling or splinting. This therapy is mostly symptomatic. Additionally, various invasive techniques are described. We discuss these techniques in the context of contemporary literature, such as the hyaluronidase injection into the site of extravasation, suction/aspiration technique including flushing of the affected tissue areas and the squeezing technique. However, most citations lack scientific evidence: many articles include anecdotal enumerations, case studies or cite publications from the era, when ionic high osmolar contrast media was state-of-the-art. Besides, many authors derive their extravasation management from studies, where agents other than contrast media were investigated.

Conclusion After detailed literature review, we suggest early (plastic) surgical consultation when non-ionic, low-osmolar contrast medium extravasation is about 150 cc or more. In case of extravasation less than 150 cc but in presence of additional symptoms such as impaired perfusion or altered sensibility, the (plastic) surgeon should also be consulted instantly. We do not recommend any invasive first line therapy when contrast media extravasation is less than 150 cc and the patient presents no additional symptoms, besides swelling and local pain. Nevertheless continuous monitoring and accurate conservative management such as active cooling and elevation, splinting of the affected extremity are mandatory as early detection of critical symptoms helps to initiate prompt surgical intervention and avoid sequelae.

Key Points
▪ Morbidity after contrast media extravasation is extremely rare.
▪ Predicting sequelae after contrast extravasation is difficult at first sight.
▪ Treatments such as hyaluronidase injection, suction/aspiration, squeeze technique have been described.
▪ Surgical consultation is recommended for extravasation >150 cc or when additional symptoms occur.
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Introduction

Intravenous application of extracellular contrast media to perform CT and MRI examinations currently has significant relevance to many clinical issues [1].

A residual risk of extravasation persists despite preventive measures used in radiological clinical routine, such as the administration of an intravenous test bolus prior to high-pressure injection of contrast medium [2]. Studies by Lewis and Hecker [3] demonstrated two types of extravasation mechanisms. On the one hand, fluid can escape into the surrounding tissue through an extraluminally disconnected or perforated catheter tip; on the other hand, it can leak through the puncture site of an intraluminally correct catheter.

The proper medical procedure after detection of extravasation is often difficult to assess, since extent and consequences at first sight can be varied and misleading. This uncertainty has led to the publication of various therapy suggestions and action algorithms [4–10] which usually identify retrospectively-determined thresholds indicating a particularly high risk of serious damage and legitimizing an invasive procedure. Many of the therapy suggestions in the context of contrast agent extravasation mentioned in the literature have been extrapolated from generalized extravasation therapy, which is based primarily on the procedure for extravasation after the administration of chemotherapeutic agents or electrolyte solutions.

In the review of all procedures and techniques, it is striking that the identified thresholds and recommendations for action have often been adopted regardless of the chemical properties of various contrast agents and in particular, invasive therapy concepts were never compared with conservative procedures in randomized control studies. Furthermore, related therapy recommendations are based partly on publications dating from before 1990, when automatic pressure injections were not the standard, and non-ionic, low-osmolar contrast media were not routinely employed.

Contrast media extravasation in radiology

Particularly at risk are patients with an inadequate response to pain. High-risk patients also include persons with atrophic, subcutaneous adipose tissue, limited peripheral vascular status or pathological lymphatic situation, as well as patients after current or ongoing chemotherapy with resulting fragile vascular status and clinically difficult to assess skin color [11]. The incidence of extravasation with the use of iodine-based contrast media varies in the literature, and is higher for mechanical bolus injection when compared to manual administration. Thus the data in the literature ranges from 0.1% to 0.9% [12–14]. The changeover to automatic pressure injection, among other things, is mentioned as the reason for a higher incidence. In addition, higher injection rates are cited as a reason, even though there are studies that could not prove a connection between the injection rate and extravasation [15]. Of approximately 350 000 contrast medium injections for CT examinations, 451 resulted in extravasation...
The extravasation rate was 0.13% among women, whereas it was 0.15% among men, somewhat higher than for men, at 0.11%. Severe complications occurred in only a single case. In general, depending on the location, toxicity of the administered agent, volume, osmolarity and state of charge (ionic versus non-ionic), extravasation can lead to negligible or even serious complications [17]. Clinical symptoms can range from mild erythema to soft tissue necrosis (Fig. 1), compartment syndrome [18] or ischemia of an extremity [19]. There are very few articles in the literature describing extravasation complications during MRI examinations. This may be due to the significantly slower injection rate and reduced volumes with low osmolarity used for MRI [6]. Nevertheless, extravasation of gadopentate dimeglumine (Gd-DTPA) can result in edema, necrosis or hemorrhaging [20]. According to Shaqdan et al., the incidence of extravasation during MRI was 0.06% (90 cases after 150,000 injections). None of the documented events resulted in severe complications [16].

In addition to volume and cytotoxicity, osmolarity is considered an essential component with respect to pathological changes related to extravasation. Contrast media between 290 – 860 mOsm/kg H₂O are considered low osmolar; media between 1200 to 2400 mOsm/kg H₂O are high osmolar [21]. There is a known direct relationship between osmolarity and cellular lysis. Due to the somewhat serious side effects of ionic, hyperosmolar contrast media, at present non-ionic, low-osmolar contrast agents are primarily used in routine radiological diagnostics [11, 22].

In the following, conservative therapeutic measures will be presented and discussed in the context of the literature. The most important invasive procedures mentioned in the literature in connection with contrast medium extravasation are described and discussed critically. The aim is to evaluate these methods in terms of their relevance in accordance with currently used contrast media and technical standards.

Conservative therapeutic approaches to treating contrast medium extravasation

There are few meaningful scientific studies on conservative non-invasive therapeutic procedures to treat contrast medium extravasation. However, since all the procedures described below contribute to alleviating pain and possibly preventing possible further deterioration in general in the sense of “good clinical practice”, we generally recommend their use in routine treatment of extravasation.

In addition to documentation, the following conservative measures [4] should be employed immediately after extravasation has been determined:

- Aspiration attempt while the catheter is still in place [23] then removal
- Raising of the affected limb (if possible above heart level) to reduce edema by reducing hydrostatic capillary pressure [7]
- Moderate cooling in the region of the extravasation.

The literature discusses both the application of cold as well as heat. Whereas active warming theoretically results in vasodilation and resorption of the leaked medium, and thus to a reduction of associated edema, active cooling provides an anti-inflammatory effect via subsequent vasoconstriction [24]. At our clinic, the application of a heparin ointment dressing with cooling and thus consecutive analgesic effect has established itself as a method that is well accepted by patients. The ointment dressings are applied only to areas where the dermis is intact. Topical application is contraindicated in cases of fragile skin conditions and potential ulceration. We should note that in the course of preparing this paper, we also could not find any evidence for the traditional application of heparin or other topical methods [25]; nonetheless, the topical use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs with regard to analgesic and anti-inflammatory action with significant risk reduction of systemic side effects has been well researched [26, 27]. In their guidelines, Bellin et al. recommend the application of cold compresses in the area of the injection site for 15 to 60 minutes three times a day for up to three days or until symptoms are no longer evident [4].

There should be an immediate initial assessment with a clinical examination and monitoring of sensitivity, blood flow and any blistering or ulcerations as well as increased swelling. We recommend consultation with a (plastic) surgeon as needed in the event of circulatory or sensory disturbances or skin necrosis, blisters or ulcerations. In cases of unremarkable blood flow and sensitivity, clinical monitoring should be performed after one and four hours if necessary.

Splinting and immobilization are especially recommended at constricted areas such as wrists and ankles. In this case, the splints should be applied to include the adjoining joint (e.g., in the event of critical extravasation on the back of the hand, a palmar splint including the wrist and fingers). There are no meaningful scientific publications regarding the use of splints for contrast medium extravasation. This approach is recommended despite the absence of supporting medical literature, since the use of splints in surgery of the extremities corresponds to the clinically accepted standard of basic care for unstable wounds and infections, regardless of their formation (infected wounds, wound healing disorder, phlebitis, etc.); furthermore, use of splints also achieves some pain relief.

Finally, it should be mentioned – as in any medical complication – patients should be adequately informed regarding further procedure and potential risks in the case of contrast medium extravasation.
extravasation. In particular, patients should be made aware that if the symptoms worsen, they should go directly to the radiology department or the emergency department of the hospital.

### Invasive therapy for contrast medium extravasation

#### Hyaluronic acid injection

The literature describes administration of hyaluronidase via the point at which the contrast medium has exuded into the surrounding tissue. There is no consensus regarding dosage, the data range from five to 250 units [11]. Injection of hyaluronic acid into the extravasated area is based on the following pathomechanism: As a mucopolysaccharide, hyaluronic acid essentially determines the structure of interstitial connective tissue. Hyaluronidase enzymatically cleaves this structure and contributes to the distribution of the extravasate, thus promoting its absorption into the vascular and lymphatic system [28]. The enzymatic effect takes place within minutes and is time-limited.

In this context, the following articles have been primarily cited: In 1998, Federle et al. [12] retrospectively studied the frequency and effect of ionic, high-osmolar, and low-ionic, non-osmolar contrast agent extravasation, demonstrating that there is no relationship between injection rate and frequency or quantity of the leaked contrast agent, and that the extravasation rate using power injectors increases when compared to manual injection. The study expressed doubts about the effectiveness of hyaluronidase therapy, since even high-volume patients without this treatment did not require surgical intervention. The 1996 article by Cohan et al., [11] also frequently cited in connection with contrast agent extravasates and hyaluronidase, provides a review of the detection, prevention and status of treatment options for contrast medium extravasation. However, this work cites many references which include the extravasation treatment of other agents. The authors conclude that the situations cited are anecdotal case studies without case control and that hyaluronidase therapy is not a routine intervention.

In a 1984 animal study of extravasation in which no contrast medium extravasation was examined, the authors only express the hypothetical conclusion that the positive effect of hyaluronidase according to the mechanism of action is to be expected for other agents [28]. In contrast, animal studies by McAlister et al. [29] in 1971 evaluated the immediate and subsequent histological effects of various ionic high osmolar contrast agents on the subcutaneous and intramuscular tissues which always show an acute inflammatory response with secondary muscle atrophy and scar formation after eight weeks. It was demonstrated that application of 25 units of hyaluronidase into the extravasate actually increased the inflammatory response with consequent coagulation and scarring. In their study, Vandeweyer at al. describe eleven cases of extravasation treated with irrigation and aspiration without the use of hyaluronidase, and mention hyaluronidase only as a conservative treatment option [30].

In summary, the data do not provide any evidence for the use of hyaluronidase as a therapy for contrast medium extravasation. The literature contains anecdotal case studies involving contrast agents no longer in use. In our view, this therapeutic approach should be used as an emergency concept in the sense of off-label use such as in the case of inoperable patients and contrast medium-associated compartment syndrome.

#### Aspiration and irrigation

A further therapeutic approach is local dilution followed by aspiration, called wash-out, aspiration and suction in the literature [30]. Stab incisions are made around the affected area under local or general anesthesia, and the extravasate is aspirated with blunt suction cannulas. Subsequently the undermined tissue region is irrigated. Information about the required number of stab incisions or the volume and type of irrigation solution varies. This procedure should be performed within a time window of six hours after the extravasation event.

A 1993 article by Gault et al. [19] is frequently cited regarding this procedure in relation to contrast media. This retrospective study describes 96 extravasation patients, 44 of whom were successfully treated with irrigation and suction. However, since only one patient with contrast agent-associated extravasation was included in this population, the effectiveness of this treatment remains open with respect to radiological practice.

Loth et al. [31] retrospectively describe five cases of extravasation of ionic high-osmolar contrast media without providing exact data regarding the volume. Surgical drainage and irrigation was described as successful using several liters of Ringer’s lactate in four of the five cases, which is explained by the observation of a therapeutic time window of six hours after the extravasation occurrence.

Vandeweyer at al. [30] describe eleven cases of extravasation between 20 and 90 ml of an ionic, high-osmolar contrast medium solution and which could be successfully treated within the first two hours after the event by suction and irrigation. The clinical presentation is described by the authors with local pain without circulatory or sensory disturbances, and in two of the eleven cases with blistering.

In summary, the few anecdotal publications regarding this approach only present extravasation therapies for no longer used highly osmolar contrast media with volumes greater than 20 ml. We currently see an indication for this apparently mechanistically plausible, but not evidence-based and relatively invasive surgical procedure in the treatment of drug-based, cytotoxic extravasation, but less so in the treatment of non-ionic low-molecular contrast agent extravasation.

#### Manual squeezing technique

Less widespread, but described as effective and easy to perform, is the so-called manual squeezing technique in which the extravasate is manually expressed after various punctures or stab incisions.

In 2007 Tsai et al. [32] described their positive experiences with this technique in a retrospective study over the course of three months in which there were 8 extravasations between 50 – 80 ml leading to noticeable vascular impairment in the form of cold and numbness. In seven cases nonionic, low osmolar contrast
medium was employed, in one case ionic, high-osmolar contrast had been used. The catheter was immediately removed, the affected area disinfected, five to eight punctures generated with an 18 gauge needle, and the fluid manually expressed. All eight cases healed satisfactorily.

In 2016 Kim et al. [5] published an account of 23 patients with contrast medium extravasation who reported severe pressure on the limb or cases in which the extravasation volume was greater than 50 ml. The squeezing technique was applied to all 23 patients, with extravasation volumes ranging from 5 to 140 ml. After catheter removal, five to ten stab incisions of three millimeters in length were placed around the access point after disinfection. This squeezing maneuver was carried out until the extravasate leak dried up. Apart from temporary, mild epidermolysis and blistering, all cases healed without consequences within a week. A control group was not described in this study.

In summary, no adequate evaluation of the squeezing technique is possible due to the very limited available data. From our point of view, the decisive advantage appears to be the possibility to perform this procedure immediately after occurrence of extravasation before diffuse contrast medium imbibition of the tissue takes place.

**Fasciotomy and compartment release**

Fasciotomy is part of the standard repertoire of surgical emergency interventions, therefore this technique need not be explained here. Pathophysiologically, it is known that subcutaneous edema can cause skin necrosis, but can also lead to compartment syndrome due to the increase in intracompartmental pressure [33]. Likewise, the vicious circle underlying edema and ischemia has been described in the literature [34]. Highly osmolar or toxic agents cause edema which puts pressure on compartments thus triggering tissue ischemia. The latter, in combination with venous congestion and low arterial gradients, increases the formation of edema by capillary leakage and finally leads to necrosis, whereby the risk of necrosis is disproportionately high in relation to the time sequence.

The literature contains various case reports on the development of compartment syndrome after contrast agent extravasation [35, 36]. Fallscheer et al. [37] described the largest series in 2007 in which a group of seven patients were studied retrospectively. After the application of hyperosmolar, non-ionic contrast medium extravasation had occurred and which, according to practice of that hospital, was treated by means of fasciotomy and compartment release. The quantity of extravasate in the...
patient cohort who did not receive surgery is not described in the study. Significant swelling associated with paresthesia or circulatory disturbance or swelling combined with painful active flexion and passive extension of the affected muscle groups is considered an indication for surgical intervention. Intraoperatively, subcutaneous edema was the primary concern, with the time between detection and surgery ranging from 90 to 300 minutes. All extremities could be preserved. Postoperative complications described by the authors were temporary movement restrictions, residual lymphoedema and chronic regional pain syndrome (CRPS type 1). The authors report that after switching to new, safer power injectors in conjunction with a standardized test injection of 20 ml saline, there was no reduction in the number of extravasations, but events were recorded faster, and conservative therapy procedures could be initiated, making surgical treatment unnecessary.

Summary

Considering the aforementioned invasive therapy procedures for contrast medium extravasation, it is striking that in general these case studies are largely retrospective, entail a series of small numbers of cases without conservative control groups, some of which originate far in the past which are no longer comparable with contrast agents currently in use. On the other hand, there are current reports on the successful management of extravasation. In their 2010 retrospective study, Sbitany et al. [38] questioned the need for involvement of a plastic surgeon for contrast medium extravasation greater than 30 ml. According to their series of 102 patients, each of whom healed free of complications without surgery, the authors conclude that due to generalized changeover to non-ionic agents, severe complications no longer arise [21, 39, 40]. Likewise, greater extravasation volumes of up to 150 ml can be conservatively treated without consequence [41]. However, it would be incorrect to conclude that restoration to the patient’s original condition can be presumed. Contrast medium extravasation continues to be a serious complication which should be treated according to definite recommendations.

The personnel involved should be aware of the related risk factors. In individual cases, depending on the radiological problem, for at-risk patients it should be considered whether the planned examination would allow a lower contrast flow rate. In addition, catheters that fail to inject a test bolus or permit aspiration, or...
where the surrounding tissue is indurated and/or reddened, as well as assesses to small or peripheral vessels of the lower extremities should not be used.

In the case of extravasation, careful documentation of the following parameters is obligatory in order to be able to recognize deteriorations in findings at an early stage and respond adequately: type of contrast agent, amount of extravasation, time of examination, location of access, and, if possible, sensitivity of affected extremity, blood flow and status of peripheral pulses (Fig. 2). Furthermore, information about skin status, possible epidermolysis and blistering or erythema should be provided.

If skin changes are present, we recommend marking the extent and, if possible, photographic documentation and size determination at a clearly defined location (anatomical fixed points). Documentation should be stored in the HIS (Hospital Information System) or in the patient file, if possible, in order to be accessible to all practitioners.

In summary, we agree with Sbitany et al. [38] in recommending consultation with a plastic surgeon in the event of extravasation volumes greater than approx. 150 ml. Exceptions are cases in which there is a visible impairment of the soft tissues, sensory or circulatory disorders independent of the amount of extravasate; in such cases inclusion of a plastic surgeon should take place as an emergency measure.

An algorithm of our recommendations for extravasation, which was developed under the patronage of the German Society of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgeons (DGPRÄC) (Fig. 3), can be downloaded from the Internet as a DIN A4 printout at https://www.drg.de/de-DE/4338/kontrastmittel-paravasate/.

Thanks to modern technology and innovations in pharmacology, the risk of serious consequences after contrast media extravasation has fortunately been significantly reduced [37, 38]. However, the occurrence of extravasation is not declining, only the incidence of consequential damage, meaning that severe complications in the form of tissue necrosis and compartment syndrome can still occur even after extravasation in small volumes [41, 42]. Since there are no clear risk criteria for the development of complications with serious consequences, and since these cases are rarities, it is important to remain vigilant with all contrast medium extravasations and to regularly check the incidence in your own department in order to eliminate possible sources of risk.
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