Dynamic 4D-CT Angiography for Guiding Transarterial Chemoembolization: Impact on the Reduction of Contrast Material, Operator Radiation Exposure, Catheter Consumption, and Diagnostic Confidence
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ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

Zielsetzung Ziel dieser Studie war die Analyse der Auswirkungen einer dynamischen vierdimensionalen CT-Angiografie (4D-CTA) für die Planung transarterieller Chemoembolisatio- nen (TACE) im Hinblick auf Kontrastmittelverbrauch, Strahlenexposition für den Interventionalisten, Katheterverbrauch und diagnostische Sicherheit.


Ergebnisse 4D-CTA TACE führte zu einer signifikanten Reduktion des benötigten Kontrastmittels im Vergleich zur traditionellen TACE (−61,0 ml / −66,3 % intraarteriell; −12,8 ml / −13,8 % insgesamt; P < 0,001). Das Dosisflächenprodukt, welches die Strahlenexposition des Radiologen während der Intervention zeigt, wurde um 50,5 % reduziert (P < 0,001). Im Durchschnitt wurden 0,7 weniger Katheter verwendet (P = 0,063) wenn Daten einer 4D-CTA zur Verfügung standen. Die diagnostische Sicherheit für den Ausschluss einer Pfortaderthrombose wurde durch die 4D-CTA im Vergleich zu herkömmlichen DSA-Bildern signifikant erhöht (Werte, 3,9 bzw. 2,4; P < 0,001).


Kernaussagen
• 4D-CTA Untersuchungen vor TACE ermöglichen eine deutliche Kontrastmittelreduktion.
• Der intraarterielle Anteil des Kontrastmittels wird dabei um zwei Drittel reduziert.
Introduction

Transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) is a well-established treatment option for patients with malignant liver tumors [1–5] and has been shown to enable both an improved overall survival in a palliative setting and “bridging” prior to curative liver transplantation or hepatectomy [4, 6–8].

During the first TACE, an extensive catheter angiography is usually performed for the abdominal aorta and its upper abdominal branches, in particular the coeliac trunk and the superior mesenteric artery, in order to visualize the arterial blood supply of the liver and the intrahepatic tumor, as well as to exclude anatomical variants or possible arterial stenosis [4, 9]. Subsequently, thrombosis of the portal vein is excluded by evaluating the contrast material flow through the spleen or the splanchic territory to the portal vein – the so-called indirect portography [2, 9]. As the image quality and contrast of these conventional angiography (DSA) images may be limited and depend on the interventionalist’s experience and the patient’s anatomy, catheters may have to be changed several times during the intervention. In complex cases, this may increase material costs, administered contrast media volume, and operator radiation exposure due to scattered radiation [10, 11]. In this context, a reduction in contrast material volume might improve patients’ safety [12–14], since it has been frequently stipulated that an increased iodine load may be associated with a higher risk of contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN) [12, 15–18], especially when administered intra-arterially rather than intra-venously [12, 16, 18–20].

Thus, the aim of this study was to investigate the impact of this 4D-CTA TACE technique on the contrast material utilized, operator radiation exposure, catheter consumption, and diagnostic confidence in the exclusion of portal vein thrombosis.
Materials and Methods

Patients and study design

Our local institutional review board endorsed this retrospective study with a waiver of written consent. From October 2014, we changed the TACE workflow in our institution by including a 4D-CTA prior to intervention, instead of stepwise extensive DSA examinations of the aorta and its branches. The inclusion criteria were: no previous TACE or DSA of the upper abdomen; absence of portal vein thrombosis; bilirubin <2.0 mg/dl; thrombocyte count >50/µl; INR < 1.5; eGFR >45 ml/min. Our initial study population consisted of 35 patients, from which we had to exclude three patients with elevated bilirubin values. Another three patients had to be excluded because they were unable to hold their breath sufficiently long for the procedure due to accompanying cardiopulmonary diseases. Thus, our final study cohort consisted of 29 patients (20 men; mean age, 65.7 ± 11.5 years). Another 29 randomly selected patients (16 men; mean age, 69.4 ± 13.9), who underwent the traditional TACE workflow before October 2014, served as the control group. Individual patient data are summarized in Table 1.

Dynamic 4D-CTA image acquisition

Dynamic 4D-CTA examinations were conducted using a 192-slice dual-source CT scanner (Somatom Force, Siemens Healthcare, Forchheim, Germany). In shuttle-mode, a 2-axis coverage of 17.6 cm with a temporal resolution of 1.5 s was obtained to cover the whole liver, the coeliac trunk and the superior mesenteric artery alongside their relevant branches. Patients were placed in a supine position and the scan started 8 s after initiation of contrast material injection. On the average, 48.2 ± 3.8 ml (range, 40 – 50 ml) of contrast media (iopamidol, Imeron 400, Bracco, Milan, Italy) were administered via a vein of the antecubital fossa at a flow rate of 5 ml/s, followed by a 50 ml saline flush. The first 20 scans were acquired with a cycle time of 1.5 s and the last 5 scans with 3 s, resulting in a total examination time of 45 s for each patient. The patients were instructed to hold their breath after normal moderate inspiration for as long as possible and to resume shallow breathing when the breath hold was no longer possible. Other settings were as follows: tube voltage 80 kV; tube current-time-product 100 mAs; collimation 48 × 1.2 mm. As no mA-modulation was used, a fixed CTDIvol of 48.9 mGy and a DLP of 961 mGycm were measured in each patient.

Post-processing of 4D-CTA data and TACE planning

Post-processing of dynamic 4D-CTA data was performed on a commercially available workstation (syngo.via, version VA30A, Siemens) by using the “CT dynamic angio” application. After an automatic motion correction had been applied, the time-resolved image stacks of the entire data set or at any arbitrary time point of the 45 s long series were reconstructed as dynamic multiplanar reformate (MPR), volume-rendering technique (VRT) and maximum-intensity projection (MIP) series. These reconstructions were calculated in anterior-posterior direction, to give an overview of the vascular anatomy and in lateral horizontal view, to depict the angle between the coeliac trunk and aorta, which was considered to be relevant for catheter selection. Additional snapshot images were saved from various angles to depict individual’s vascular anatomy. Furthermore, the portal vein perfusion was assessed on MPR and MIP images to exclude thrombosis. Window settings were carefully altered, to exclude overlying liver and soft tissue which might complicate accurate diagnosis of vascular anatomy and portal vein patency. Patients underwent TACE within 3 days after the 4D-CTA exam.

TACE procedure

For both cohorts, TACE treatments were performed by a radiologist having more than 25 years of experience (T. J. V.) in 24 cases and by a radiologist with more than 7 years of experience (R. W. B.) in 5 cases. A transfemoral access was used in all cases with a 5F sheath (Glidesheath, Terumo, Tokyo, Japan). Puncture of the common femoral artery was performed with a steel needle after local anesthesia.

In the control group undergoing standard TACE, an initial overview DSA with 2 frames/s of the abdominal aorta after administration of n-butylscopolamine was performed with a 5F pigtail catheter (Boston Scientific, Marlborough, MA) and a power injector with 30 ml of contrast material (iodixanol, Visipaque 320, GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, United Kingdom) at a flow rate of 15 ml/s. Subsequently, a selective catheterization of the superior mesenteric artery was performed with a 5F sidewinder or cobra catheter (Terumo) and DSA images (2 frames/s) of the splanchnic territory, including the indirect portography were acquired with another 30 ml of contrast material injected at a flow of 3 ml/s. Finally, the coeliac trunk was intubated with the same catheter and a DSA series (2 frames/s) was acquired again, with a power injector and 15 ml of contrast material at a flow rate of 3 ml/s.

In the 4D-CTA TACE study group, after dynamic VRT, MIP, and MPR series were analyzed, a direct selective intubation of the
tumor-supplying artery was performed by the pre-selected catheter under fluoroscopy guidance without further DSA images. However, small amounts of contrast material were injected manually for position control of the catheter.

For chemoembolization in both cohorts, the tumor-supplying artery was further super-selectively intubated with a coaxial micro catheter (Progreat, Terumo) if necessary. Under fluoroscopy guidance, with manual injection of 5–10 ml diluted contrast material, the chemoembolization was performed as selectively as possible. For embolization, lipiodol (lipiodol ultra-fluid, Guerbet, Villepinte, France) was used in varying amounts until stasis of the blood flow was achieved. Cytostatic drugs varied according to tumor entity. After the catheters and sheath were removed, the puncture site was sealed by the use of a closure device (Angioseal, St. Jude Medical, St. Paul, MN).

In all TACE procedures, the fluoroscopy time was recorded, as this parameter was empirically considered to be an indicator for the complexity of the TACE performed.

**Subjective analysis of portography images**

Two blinded radiologists with more than 4 years of experience (E. S. and S. F.) in CT imaging and angiography performed an additional separate image analysis. The 4D-CTA MIP series and the conventional DSA portography images were reviewed independently and in random order. Visualization of portal vein perfusion and subsequent diagnostic confidence in the exclusion of portal vein thrombosis was rated using five-point Likert scales (1 = insufficient contrast to assess portal vein perfusion and resulting low diagnostic confidence; 2 = limited contrast to assess portal vein perfusion; 3 = acceptable contrast in portal vein; 4 = sufficient contrast in portal vein; 5 = excellent visualization of portal vein and its branches with a resulting high diagnostic confidence).

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were expressed as mean values ± one-fold standard deviation (SD). Commercially available software was used for statistical data analysis (MedCalc Statistical Software version 12.7.2, MedCalc Software bvba, Ostend, Belgium). The normality of the data distribution was assessed using the Kolmogoroff-Smirnoff test. Data showing a Gaussian distribution were evaluated by means of an unpaired student’s t-test. The Mann-Whitney test was applied, where no normal data distribution was present.

Inter-rater agreement was analyzed by means of Cohen’s kappa (k) analysis, and the coefficients were interpreted as follows: k < 0.20 = slight agreement; k: 0.21–0.40 = fair agreement; k: 0.41–0.60 = moderate agreement; k: 0.61–0.80 = substantial agreement; k: 0.81–1.0 = almost perfect agreement. A P-value less than 0.05 was considered to indicate a significant result for the tests that were performed.

**Results**

All 4D-CTA scans were of diagnostic value and were performed without occurrence of relevant motion artifacts. No complications occurred, neither during 4D-CTA image acquisition nor during TACE in all the patients. **Table 2** gives an overview on the target values assessed in our study.

**Contrast media**

In the control group undergoing traditional TACE, the amount of overall administered contrast media was 92.0 ± 10.0 ml (range, 75–120 ml), which was inherently injected intra-arterially in all.

In the study cohort with 4D-CTA series for guiding TACE, 78.9 ± 16.2 ml (range, 60–130 ml) of contrast media were administered overall, which was 13.9% less compared to the control group (P < 0.001). Of that total amount, 30.6 ± 16.9 ml (range, 10–80 ml) were administered intra-arterially, which was 66.3% less in comparison with the traditional TACE cohort (P < 0.001).

**Operator radiation exposure**

With regard to the control group, the patient dose-area-product in the immediate presence of the radiologist during TACE amounted to 2268.1 ± 1145.1 μGym² (range, 613.1–5571.8 μGym²).

In the 4D-CTA study group, the patient radiation exposure during TACE with the radiologist in the angio suite was 1121.2 ± 1337.5 μGym² (range, 64–6209 μGym²) and thus, operator exposure due to scattered radiation could be reduced by 50.5% (P < 0.001), when 4D-CTA series were available to guide TACE.

**Catheter consumption**

In total, 3.1 ± 0.9 catheters (range, 2–5) were used for conventional TACE. In the 4D-CTA TACE group, 2.4 ± 0.8 catheters were used on average (range, 1–4). However, this mean reduction of 0.7 catheters (22.5%) by using 4D-CTA was not statistically significant (P = 0.063).
Time of fluoroscopy
There was no significant difference (P = 0.578) regarding time of fluoroscopy between the control (3.5 ± 1.9 minutes; range, 0.9 – 8.6) and the 4D-CTA TACE group (3.4 ± 1.8 minutes; range, 1.4 – 8.3).

Subjective analysis of portography images
The cumulative scores regarding the assessment of portal vein perfusion and diagnostic confidence in the exclusion of portal vein thrombosis from the two radiologists were superior (P < 0.001) for the 4D-CTA study group (score, 3.9 ± 0.7; range, 3 – 5) than standard portography images (score, 2.4 ± 0.7; range, 1 – 4). There was a substantial agreement of both reviewers for the standard (κ = 0.74, P < 0.001) and the 4D-CTA cohort (κ = 0.68, P < 0.001).

Discussion
This study aimed at comparing the 4D-CTA TACE to traditional TACE with purely conventional DSA images with regard to administered contrast material, operator radiation exposure, catheter consumption and diagnostic confidence in the exclusion of portal vein thrombosis.

Our data indicates that the dynamic 4D-CTA image acquisition for guiding TACE leads to substantially reduced amount of intra-arterial and overall contrast material used, decreases operator radiation exposure during intervention, and enables a superior assessment of portal vein perfusion than conventional portography images. We believe that these potential benefits may outweigh the effort of an additional CT exam prior to TACE.

Assessing the administered contrast material volume for both cohorts was the first priority of our study, as the prevention of CIN remains an issue of great current interest [12, 17, 18, 20]. As we demonstrated that 4D-CTA TACE can be performed with two-thirds less arterially administered contrast media volume in comparison to the standard TACE procedure, we presume that this technique might decrease the risk of CIN and may enable TACE treatment for patients with reduced renal function, although our study did not aim at investigating this end point. It also may facilitate an increased frequency of TACE procedures when a present renal impairment limits therapy options.

Although the pathophysiological mechanism of CIN is not sufficiently clarified yet [21], the vast majority of clinical studies describe a lower incidence of CIN in patients receiving contrast material intra-venously rather than intra-arterially [22, 23]. In this regard, not so much osmolality as higher viscosity during intra-arterial injection was presumed to be a potential explanation [16, 18]. On the contrary, in a recent study, the incidence of CIN following TACE of HCC seemed to be comparable to that after intra-venous contrast media administration [12]. However, as long as this issue remains a topic on the ongoing controversial investigation, we consider especially a reduction of the intra-arterial fraction of administered contrast media as desirable, to enhance patient safety and minimize the risk of CIN. In routine clinical practice, radiologists and other treating clinicians should be aware of the option of optimizing contrast material consumption by using the 4D-CTA TACE technique. Concomitantly, gaining experience with this workflow in departments where TACE is routinely performed will be important to realize an effective reduction of contrast media.

Radiation dose caused by scattered x-rays during conventional angiography remains an unsolved problem addressed by numerous investigations [10, 11, 24]. In our study, we showed that by means of 4D-CTA, a large part of conventional angiography leading to increased radiation exposure for the radiologist during TACE may be evitable, as dose-area-product and thus, scattered radiation could be reduced by half compared to traditional TACE. We assume that this may be another effective strategy in order to reduce operator radiation dose in addition to maintaining the greatest possible distance to the photon-emitting tube and the use of x-ray protective equipment.

Furthermore, we assumed that a pre-procedural planning 4D-CTA allowing for a comprehensive 4D imaging from different angles may lead to a reduced catheter consumption, which could...
ultimately result in a reduction of material costs. Although 4D-CTA TACE was performed using almost a quarter less catheters on average compared to standard TACE, this difference was not significant. Nevertheless, the issue of optimizing catheter selection using 4D-CTA in order to reduce material costs should be subject to future investigation with larger patient cohorts with dedicated, not merely overall analyzes of catheter usage. How 4D-CTA may facilitate catheter selection is shown in ►Fig. 1.

Importantly, our results showed that 4D-CTA increases diagnostic confidence in the exclusion of portal vein thrombosis (►Fig. 2a–d). As portal vein thrombosis constitutes a contraindication for TACE [4], 4D-CTA MIP and MPR images might increase patient safety due to a more accurate assessment.

Besides limiting factors like adipositas, ascites, portocaval collateral circulation and mandatory patient cooperation, conventional DSA portography requires optimal timing during image acquisition, which depends strongly on the radiologist’s experience (►Fig. 2d). As a result, portal-venous contrast is frequently limited. On the contrary, 4D-CTA portography ensures due to the inclusion of multiple time points, an image of sufficient contrast in both the central portal vein and its peripheral branches (►Fig. 2b). This advantage of optimal contrast inclusion, which is independent of the examiner, also applies for arterial 4D-CTA images (►Fig. 2a), demonstrating a substantial difference to normal CTA images, where the time point of optimal arterial and portal-venous contrast conditions might easily be missed. However, future research is warranted to analyze if 4D-CTA may indeed be superior for TACE planning purposes compared to standard bi- or tri-phasic CT in combination with standard ultrasound exams for arterial visualization and the assessment of portal vein perfusion.

On the contrary, the fact that acquisition of dynamic data by means of 4D-CTA may allow for analyzing additional perfusion characteristics and monitoring treatment response of malignant liver lesions may be in favor of 4D-CTA.

As none of the patients undergoing 4D-CTA TACE had any complications, we demonstrated that performing TACE by direct catheterization of the coeliac trunk following 4D-CTA is safe and feasible (►Fig. 3a–c).

Analyzing the fluoroscopy time is essential, when assessing comparability of our results from the standard and 4D-CTA TACE.
groups. As the fluoroscopic images are normally used for catheter navigation and orientation during angiography, increasing fluoroscopy times empirically indicate a more complex intervention. Because there was no significant difference between both cohorts, complexity of TACE interventions included in our study seems to be comparable. On the other hand, one could argue about the observation that 4D-CTA did not translate into a reduced fluoroscopy time. One potential explanation may be that the interventionalists were inexperienced at the time-point of conducting the novel TACE workflow. We hypothesize that an enhanced experience might lead to reduced fluoroscopy times in the future.

As this investigation represents our initial experience with the 4D-CTA TACE workflow, we expect further improvements of our protocol used for the purpose of planning and guiding TACE in the future. In particular, we assume that protocol optimization with fewer scans might allow for a further reduction of patient radiation exposure. As recent studies investigating time-resolved 4D-CTA did not explicitly focus on subsequent intra-arterial catheterization [25, 26], we chose for safety reasons a total of 25 scans over a time range of 45 s, to ensure the inclusion of arterial peak blood flow and also optimal portal-venous contrast. However, as only in 14 cases of our 4D-CTA study population the whole data set of 45 s was used for reconstruction of 4D-CTA series, a shortened protocol for TACE planning would be desirable, while maintaining sufficient diagnostic information in regard to liver blood supply and portal vein patency. Furthermore, future studies might reveal advantages of the inclusion of 4D-CTA for chemoembolization of other anatomical regions, for example, lung metastases, or interventions other than TACE.

Our study has several inherent limitations that should be addressed. First, our study cohort was relatively small and represents our initial experience with a new workflow. Further research will be necessary to re-evaluate our findings with a special focus on patient safety. Second, our control group of 29 patients who underwent standard TACE might have exhibit more distinct variations regarding the target variables than the average of a larger patient cohort. Last and by far most importantly, as we focused on the fraction of radiation exposure causing scattered radiation that affects interventional radiologists and in-room medical staff, we did not assess overall patients’ radiation dose during 4D-TACE. As a cumulative retrospective calculation of radiation exposure from CT and conventional angiographic imaging is not adequately possible, this could be addressed in further phantom studies using x-ray dosimeters.

In conclusion, dynamic 4D-CTA facilitates TACE with a substantially reduced amount of contrast material and thus, might decrease the risk of CIN and enable TACE for patients with renal impairment. Furthermore, 4D-CTA decreases operator radiation exposure during intervention and increases diagnostic confidence in the exclusion of portal vein thrombosis. We therefore lend countenance to a more widespread use of 4D-CTA for guiding TACE, but further research is warranted to optimize and refine this technique.
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