Introduction
Surgical therapy of myasthenia gravis was the first targeted approach to treating this disease [2]. Long before the autoimmune character of MG was recognized, in 1901 autopsy examinations showed a connection with tumors of the thymus gland [3]. After initially sporadic employment of thymectomy (Thx), there was a latency of a few decades after the historic development of symptomatic therapy with cholinesterase inhibitors in 1934 (“Walker effect”), then surgical therapy was systematically resumed [4–6]. Historical consideration of thymectomy is currently of particular importance, since the present proof of the effectiveness of Thx for the treatment of MG can deductively also be applied to associated issues. The controversy over the surgical treatment of MG has
changed in content since the principal doubts about the usefulness of thymectomy in the treatment of autoimmune myasthenia gravis have been overcome [1]. Based on the MGTX study, the overview presented here focuses on the current data on the significance of thymectomy for other clinically relevant subgroups, such as juvenile myasthenia gravis (JMG), ocular MG (OMG), late-onset myasthenia (LOMG), thymoma-related MG (including MG with microscopic thymoma), MG without antibodies (so-called seronegative myasthenia) as well as gender-specific differences. The recommended surgical technique is of particular importance to all involved. Is there always a need for a sternotomy, or can a modification of a thoracoscopic procedure be considered as standard? Responses to these issues are provided in light of regional, international and especially experiential differences, since there is currently a rapid change in approach toward a unilateral procedure and robotic-assisted thoracoscopic techniques (Table 1, Figs. 1, 2).

**Thymectomy in Different MG Subgroups**

The past 20 years have seen significant advances in the pathophysiological understanding of MG, resulting in a therapeutically-relevant classification into new subgroups [7–9]. The classification principles relevant for thymectomy take into account thymoma association, age of onset and antibody specificity, that is, the issue of whether there are antibodies to the usual AChR antigen (about 80–85 % of patients) or MuSK (muscle-specific receptor tyrosine kinase, about 3–5 %) or LRP4 (low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 4, < 1 %) [8]. About an additional 10–15 % of all patients demonstrate no antibodies; these are designated as “triple seronegative”. This is inaccurate insofar as it can be assumed that even seronegative patients have specific disease-causing autoantibodies, which so far have eluded detection and that these are therefore MG patients without identified antibodies.

The MGTX study can now determine with certainty the effectiveness of Thx for the largest group of myasthenia patients with early onset myasthenia gravis (EOMG) and an AChR-antibody-positive course of the disease [1]. A number of thymectomy series have already proven the advantages of the procedure for MG [10–15].
In 2000 Gronseth and Barohn, based exclusively on comparative studies, showed in a meta-analysis that patients with a generalized progression of EOMG benefited from thymectomy if they underwent surgery early after disease onset (<2 years) [16]. However, since data from a randomized controlled trial was lacking until recently, doubts about the importance of Thx in myasthenia gravis therapy were widespread. Since prior to the MGTX study there were no prospective randomized controlled studies, until recently thymectomy was often ruled out for patients without suspected thymoma despite the above-mentioned meta-analysis and the largely recognized role of the thymus in the pathophysiology of myasthenia gravis [9]. It should be considered that MG is the only autoimmune disease to date in which thymectomy is performed and in principle for which other methods of therapy are available using drug immunosuppression, which were at least tested in (although often not high-quality) studies. A comparison of the data of the meta-analysis with the results of the MGTX study shows a high congruence of the results. Based on the demonstrated high value of Thx in the main group of MG patients [17], it is instructive for the future of myasthenia gravis therapy to consider in more detail the sources and causes of the reluctant or even negative attitude towards thymectomy.

Historically, thymectomy surgery procedures were still very limited until the 1970s. Moreover, effective antibiotics were lacking, the possibilities of intensive care were limited and there were no effective immunosuppressive MG therapies, so that the perioperative morbidity of thymectomy was comparatively high in the early days of the procedure. In the first systematic series published by Blalock, the perioperative mortality of 20 patients was 25% [5]. He had already recognized the need for an extensive or complete thymectomy [18], since at that time neither the significance of any existing ectopic mediastinal thymic tissue nor the autoimmune genesis of myasthenia gravis was known. Long before minimally-invasive thoracoscopy revolutionized the treatment of myasthenia gravis, the return of the original transcervical approach via jugular transverse incision around 1970 started the debate on how thymectomy should be performed [12, 18–20]. Until the introduction of the thoracoscopic surgical technique, the central debate between advocates of the cervical or transternal surgical technique had not been conclusively determined with regard to the analysis of the respective results. Therefore in 1997 Jaretzki established a myasthenia gravis task force to statistically evaluate the results of surgical therapy of the disease [21]. This approach led to the systematic investigation of the importance of thymectomy in MG therapy; a component of this study was the above-mentioned meta-analysis by Gronseth and Barohn which formed the basis of the MGTX study [16]. Consequently, the inclusion criteria focused on the core group of early-onset myasthenia gravis patients. As a result only limited conclusions are possible for the other typical MG subgroups.

Consideration of the status quo based on the meta-analysis of 2000 and the MGTX study provide the following picture. The MGTX study demonstrated that cases of generalized myasthenia gravis with positive detection of AChR antibodies, disease onset age below 65 years and a disease history of less than 5 years (according to the 2000 meta-analysis <2 years) would benefit from thymectomy. Based on the inclusion criteria of the MGTX study, patients younger than 18 years of age or older than 65 as well as patients without verified AChR antibodies were not studied. As a result, there are uncertainties regarding these groups of patients which should be addressed here in the sense of the indication and recommended surgical technique based on the available evidence.

### Thymectomy in Juvenile Myasthenia Gravis

Thymectomy for children and adolescents poses particular technical, ethical and medical challenges. The earlier concept, that due to the possible role of the thymus in the maturation of the immune system, thymectomy had to be delayed until puberty, is countered by the fact that the time interval between the first manifestation of MG and the thymectomy inversely correlates with the therapeutic effect. Based on current neonatal cardiocerebral data which regularly “sacrifices” thymus tissue, there is no evidence of immunological disadvantages of thymectomy in early childhood [22]. No negative effects have been known from the comparatively few cases of juvenile myasthenia gravis. However, risk-benefit analysis must take into account that drug immunosuppression can lead to growth retardation; thymectomy can reduce this risk. Our range of experience includes thymectomy in children from the age of 4 years; internationally, success has even been reported in individual cases from the age of 1.5 years. The requirements for an appropriate surgical procedure are best met by a minimally-invasive surgical technique, in particular unilateral robotic-assisted thymectomy. A recent systematic review supports the use of Thx in children with AChR-ab-positive myasthenia gravis [23]. Based on retrospective data from a total of 1131 patients (43% with thymectomy) published in the years 2000 to 2016, a significant advantage of Thx-treated patients was found in comparison to those exclusively receiving conservative therapy [24]. Of the 488 thymectomy patients, however, only 70 had undergone thoracoscopic surgery, and only a very small proportion had been operated on with robotic assistance [24]. In our recently published case series of 18 patients with juvenile-onset MG who underwent exclusively robotic-assisted surgery, we demonstrated the superiority of this technique, which is reflected in the very good long-term results combined with the absence of related side effects [25].

### Thymectomy in Older Patients

The second age peak of the incidence of MG begins at the age of 40 and is defined as a late manifestation (late-onset myasthenia gravis, LOMG), whereas the age limit of 40–65 years can be highly variable [26]. The increasing incidence and prevalence of LOMG is potentially due to the growing average age of the population, improved diagnostic capabilities, and possibly also increasing polypharmacy in older age with drugs, some of which are suspected of being MG triggers [7]. Annually, more than 60% of all newly-diagnosed myasthenia gravis have LOMG [7]. The are various reasons to treat LOMG patients with thymectomy, although the age limit for this surgery has steadily increased over the last two decades and is now often given as 60 years. One of the main reasons is natural thymic involution, i.e. atrophy of the thymus with increasing age. On the other hand, the pathophysiological assumption is that fol-
licular hyperplasia (“thymitis”) is an etiological correlate of MG. There are no systematic studies with larger case numbers, since due to the above-mentioned age limit, older patients are not operated on; however some of these patients also have follicular hyperplasia, albeit less frequently than younger ones. Another reason was the sometimes high morbidity of sternotomy in the past among the often multimorbid elderly patients. In addition, the generally lower remaining life expectancy of older patients is used as an argument. This contrasts with data showing that even older patients with generalized MG can benefit from thymectomy [27]. Based on current data and evidence of the occurrence of follicular hyperplasia among older patients, European guidelines recommend thymectomy also in cases of late-onset MG [28]. Furthermore, in the MGTX study, which due to slow recruitment, extended the inclusion criteria to involve older patients, the results for the late-onset myasthenia gravis group were similar to the early-onset group. A minimally-invasive thymectomy technique, especially using robotic assistance, is highly suited to operate without delay or risk of destabilizing the MG due to interruption of immunosuppressive therapy.

Thymectomy for Ocular Myasthenia Gravis

The issue of whether a patient with OMG should be offered a thymectomy is still under discussion. First, the therapeutic goal must be defined. A basic aim may be to reduce the symptoms as in the generalized form and/or to reduce or discontinue drug immunosuppressive therapy. Since the ocular form of myasthenia gravis is generally regarded as not as disabling as the generalized form, this therapeutic goal is frequently rejected due to the lack of random clinical trial evidence. It should be pointed out that many patients with the ocular form of MG suffer a high level of psychological stress and must as a rule be treated with immunosuppressive drugs or have to undergo ophthalmosurgical therapy. However, it has long been known that approx. 50–70% of ocular cases become generalized. In these cases, there is an 80–90% probability of generalization within the first 2 to 3 years [29]. In addition, purely ocular forms are rare in the long term. This results in a second possible therapy goal for the thymectomy, the prevention of generalization. The surgery is more effective the sooner it is performed after disease onset; thus it does not make sense to wait until it becomes generalized. There are no widely-accepted markers that are predictive of generalization. However, long-term purely ocular progressions are seronegative in approx. 50% of cases or have very low AChR-antibody titers (see below for the importance of so-called clustered AChR antibodies [30].

Since Keynes’ comprehensive early analysis, most researchers have found a significant advantage in performing thymectomy early, making waiting for generalization at the onset of ocular MG risky [10, 31–34]. A recent meta-analysis based on 26 publications has analyzed the course of 684 patients with ocular MG and thymectomy [35]. The pooled rate of completely stable full remission was comparably high at 51%. The results of thymectomy were better in European and American patients than in Asian patients, and in children better than in adults [35]. These data are an indication that thymectomy can also effectively improve ocular MG. Further systematic data analysis is needed in order to better estimate the therapeutic effects on ocular symptoms and generalization. However, the extent to which multi-center, randomized, controlled clinical trials on the effects of thymectomy, especially in this subgroup, is necessary before this therapy should be recommended for initial onset of ocular MG, is a controversial topic. In this case, approximately 30% of generalized AChR-ab-positive MG patients (with ocular symptoms) would be deprived of effective therapy at the optimal juncture (as early as possible after disease onset) according to the MGTX study.

Significance of Antibody Status in the Decision for Thymectomy

The thymectomy data are largely based on AChR-ab-positive myasthenia gravis patients. The limited body of data relating to the effectiveness of thymectomy in MuSK-ab-positive MG are contradictory; typical thymic pathologies (thymoma, thymic hyperplasia) have been observed only in exceptional cases [26, 36, 37]. Interestingly, thymic changes are apparent, the significance of which is still unclear [37]. Therefore, based on this data, the guidelines do not recommend thymectomy for MuSK-ab-positive patients. However, in our view, imaging should be used to find thymic pathologies for this type of MG, and thymectomy performed in cases of relevant suspicion. In addition, thymectomy should be performed for individual cases of severe treatment-refractory disease.

There are no meaningful data available regarding the value of thymectomy in cases of LRP4-ab-positive MG which is very rare in Germany (<1%) [38].

Data regarding thymectomy for seronegative patients date mainly from the time when new autoantibodies (especially against MuSK) were not available. In addition, not a small proportion of seronegative patients (especially ocularly affected) probably have positive evidence of so-called cluster AChR antibodies, which are only detectable in a commercially unavailable cell-based assay [39]. Among seronegative patients, the proportion of follicular hyperplasia is about 30% and thus less common compared to AChR-ab-positive EOMG (about 70%) [36]; therefore thymectomy should also be considered for such patients. Systematic data collection (e.g., in registries) and prospective studies to examine the importance of thymectomy for these subgroups are needed.

Thymectomy for Thymoma and Myasthenia Gravis

Thymoma or the suspicion of thymoma are absolute indications for surgery. With a diagnosis of MG, (contrast) CT or MRI-based imaging is required for this constellation, although small thymomas, microthymomas, and so-called microscopic thymomas can inherently elude these diagnostic methods [30]. Despite the successful description of minimally-invasive thymectomy techniques, sternotomy was still considered the sole safe method in some centers [40]. The initial concern about possible higher recurrence rates due to injury of the thymic capsule and/or non-radical thymectomy and
lower rates of improvement of MG has not been confirmed in techni-
cally perfect thoracoscopic thymectomies [41–45]. Nowadays
thymectomy can be performed on Masaoka-Koga stages I and II
using minimally-invasive techniques [41–43]. The size of the thy-
moma is not an absolute criterion for an adequate surgical tech-
nique. Consistent with the experience gained at the Charité in Ber-
lin after 115 robotic-assisted thymectomies, groups in Europe, Asia
and the USA have also partially demonstrated using propensi-
ty-matching design that a robotic-assisted thoracoscopic surgical
 technique can be adequate for larger thymomas [44–46]. Subx-
iphoid fourth trocar access or subxiphoidal extirpation is recom-
manded for larger thymomas.

Thymectomy in Adipose Patients with
Myasthenia Gravis

The MGTX study was able to dispel the remaining doubts regarding
the indication for thymectomy in the main group of MG pa-
tients. The basic risk-benefit assessment of therapies mainly con-
cerns surgical procedures. This applies in particular to elective
thymectomy for MG; the perioperative complication rate was al-
ways a central component of the discussion in addition to the in-
dication for the surgical procedure (► Fig. 1). It has been assumed
that only an open surgical technique (sternotomy) can be sufficient-
ly radical in the complete removal of the thymus gland. However,
this is not the case, minimally invasive procedures are now at least
equivalent in terms of thoroughness. On the other hand, an open
surgical technique is more likely to cause complications and inter-
ference with the underlying disease and comorbidities. For example,
a recent study of patients with obesity (BMI > 23.3) shows a signif-
ically higher rate of complications, especially respiratory failure
and extended hospitalization [47]. The technical possibilities of ro-
botic assistance offer the likelihood of an extremely low-complica-
tion complete minimally invasive thymectomy even for MG patients
with a higher BMI.

How Should the Thymectomy be
performed?

Twenty years ago “Aktuelle Neurologie” (English: Current Neuro-
logy) in a special issue devoted to myasthenia gravis published an
article introducing minimally-invasive thymectomy [48] in which
the thoracoscopic thymectomy technique was described and es-
 tablished in detail [49–51]. Five years earlier, thoracoscopic
thymectomy had been performed for the first time, followed by a
small series of thymectomies [50, 52, 53]. Since then, the surgical
 technique has evolved enormously, and issues regarding the ben-
efits of individual procedures have become the subject of research
(► Fig. 1).

The “MG Task Force” previously mentioned, was founded by
Jaretzki in 1997; the meta-analysis by Gronseth and Barohn (2000)
provided convincing data for the effectiveness of thymectomy in
the treatment of MG [21]; the MGTX study was intended to provide
final evidence [1]. It should be noted that there was a 15-year in-
terval between the planning of the study and its successful conclu-
sion. This has to do with the surgical procedure used, among other
things [1]. Extended median sternotomy was uniformly established
as the surgical technique for the MGTX study. Based on this study,
it might be concluded that selection of this access method was
mandatory, since an advantage was shown only for this procedure.
Do minimally-invasive thymectomy procedures have the same
value? The body of data 20 years after the development of mini-
imally-invasive thymectomy surgical techniques support this. Thus,
the efficacy of sternotomy and unilateral simple thoracoscopy was
comparable [14]. A recent retrospective study using propensity
analysis sees advantages compared to sternotomy with respect to
improving myasthenic symptoms after extended thoracoscopic
technique with supplementary neck and subxiphoidal access
(VATET technique, ► Table 1) [54]. The robotic-assisted thoraco-
sopic technique currently provides the greatest advantages for a
mixed patient population of MG and/or thymoma patients since
technical advantages and systematic familiarization allow optimal
radical thymus removal even under difficult individual anatomical
conditions (► Figs. 1–4) [41, 55–58]. Robotic assistance resulted in
a significantly higher rate of complete remission of MG after mini-
imally-invasive thoracoscopic thymectomy [59]. The surprisingly
clear positive results of the MGTX study have further accelerated
the trend towards the introduction of minimally-invasive thymec-
tomy techniques. However, seen globally, there are differences.
One example of this is the greater importance of the discussion of
sternotomy vs. thoracoscopy in Europe, whereas in Asia the focus
is almost exclusively on optimal minimally-invasive surgical tech-
nique. ► Table 1 provides an overview of the current issues in this
regard. There are clear arguments for performing a left-side uni-
lateral robotic-assisted thymectomy with 3 trocars (► Figs. 1–4).
Japanese colleagues have described a bilateral technique, since only
in this way were they able to perform radical thymic tissue removal
on the contralateral diaphragm [60]. A dedicated technique un-
derlies the left-side 3-trocar access we have introduced [61, 62]
which can be taught in a systematic staged learning program. The
missing difference between left- and right-side thymectomy is due to
the somewhat low quality of available literature on this topic

► Fig. 1

Thymectomy techniques

► Table 1

Comparison of minimally-invasive
thymectomy techniques

► Fig. 3

Preparation after resection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgical Technique</th>
<th>European Studies</th>
<th>Asian Studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median sternotomy</td>
<td>▶ Fig. 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoracoscopy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VATET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robotic thoracoscopy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The anatomical variability of the left part of the thymus is the main reason why a change to the left-sided approach was made even in the case of primarily right-sided surgery (Cerfolio, personal report) [41]. In this context it is crucial to perform a radical thymectomy in any case (Fig. 3). All critics of minimally-invasive thymectomy techniques have the legitimate concern that this principle may be risky, given a lack of experience, aggravating anatomical variations, increased BMI or complex thymomas.

Summary

In the case of a thymoma, thymectomy is not only essential for the treatment of myasthenia gravis, but also to prevent vascular, cardiac, pulmonary or nerve damage caused by local tumor growth. Even if there is no suspicion of thymoma, AChR-ab-positive MG patients younger than 66 years should be offered thymectomy early after disease onset (Evidence level Ib) Thymectomy may also be considered for AChR-ab-positive patients older than 65 years with seronegative and ocular myasthenia gravis. Currently thymectomy is not recommended for the MuSK-ab-positive MG subgroup. The value of thymectomy should be investigated in additional clinical studies of such subgroups. Surgical technique should be oriented toward the completeness of thymic tissue removal, in which case ectopic thymus tissue may also have to be excised (Fig. 3). This goal can be achieved with sufficient experience with thoracoscopic surgical techniques. A minimally-invasive radical complete thymectomy can be particularly obtained with robotic assistance (Fig. 4).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References


