Use of onabotulinum toxin A in patients with idiopathic overactive bladder and a lack of efficacy, intolerance or contraindication with anticholinergics
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Abstract

Objective To assess the efficacy and safety of onabotulinum toxin A in patients with idiopathic overactive bladder inadequately managed with anticholinergics.

Materials and Methods A prospective, open-label, single centre, and interventional study was conducted, from 2008 to 2013, on consecutive patients with idiopathic overactive bladder that showed lack of efficacy or intolerance to anticholinergic agents.

Results The study included 73 female patients aged 58.9 ± 12.9 years. A dose of 100 and 200 units of toxin were administered in 89 and 5 cases, respectively. Nineteen patients received a second injection, 8 patients received 3, and one patient was treated 4 times. Clinically, it was observed that 98% patients had urge urinary incontinence at baseline, as compared with 42% under treatment. Similar results were obtained regarding the number of pads used per day, from 2.8 at baseline to 0.5 after treatment as regards the urodynamic parameters, the first desire to void volume improved from 97 ± 63 mL to 139 ± 81 mL. Similar results were obtained as regards cystometric capacity and the volume of the first involuntary detrusor contraction. One patient had a positive urine culture resolved using a conventional oral antibiotic regimen. Intermittent catheterisation was required in 5 patients during the first week.

Conclusions Onabotulinum toxin A injections significantly improved, not only the clinical symptoms, but also the urodynamic parameters in patients with idiopathic overactive bladder inadequately managed with anticholinergic drugs. This is a simple technique with minimal adverse effects and generally well tolerated.
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Introduction

The International Continent Society (ICS) defines overactive bladder (OAB) as a syndrome characterized by lower urinary tract symptoms, such as urgency with or without urge urinary incontinence, and is usually accompanied by urinary frequency and nocturia.¹

OAB has an overall prevalence that ranges from 12% to 19% and its presence imposes a huge burden on the healthcare system, society, and quality of life of affected individuals.² In urology, the use of Onabotulinumtoxin A has been widely evaluated in neurogenic overactive bladder patients.³⁻⁹ Additionally, different studies supported its use in OAB patients with persistent urge urinary incontinence, showing improvement in patients’ quality of life and in some urodynamic parameters.¹⁰,¹¹

According to the European Association of Urology (EAU) and American Urological Association (AUA) guidelines, first line therapy includes rehabilitation and pharmacologic treatment with antimuscarinic agents and β3-adrenergic receptor agonists.¹²⁻¹⁴ When patients are either intolerant or refractory to the pharmacological treatment, both botulinum toxin applications as well as sacral neuromodulation are the recommended alternatives.¹⁵ In a retrospective study Makovey et al.¹⁶ reported successful outcomes following onabotulinumtoxin A injections in 34/57 (60%) patients treated secondary to lack of anticholinergic efficacy and in 24/28 (86%) due to intolerable side effects. There is increasing evidence suggesting that botulinum toxin treatment was associated with less urinary symptoms and an improvement in the patient’s quality of life.¹⁷

There is currently a level of evidence A for the use of onabotulinumtoxin A in patients with idiopathic overactive bladder inadequately managed with anticholinergics.¹⁸

The purpose of this study was to assess the urodynamic parameters and adverse events in patients with idiopathic overactive bladder, inadequately managed with anticholinergics, treated with onabotulinumtoxin A.

Material and Methods

A prospective, open-label, public single center, and observational study was conducted, from 2008 to 2013, on consecutive patients with idiopathic overactive bladder (IOAB) that shown either lack of efficacy or intolerance to anticholinergic agents. Patients received treatment with either 100 and 200 units of onabotulinumtoxin A (BOTOX® Allergan, Inc., Irvine, CA) according to the patient needs.

Patients with IOAB symptoms that did not respond to anticholinergic treatment were referred to the Specialty Urology Femenine and Functional Unit of our hospital where they performed a comprehensive study. All participants were required to meet the following inclusion criteria: age equal or greater than 18 years and idiopathic overactive bladder, inadequately managed with anticholinergics, treated with onabotulinumtoxin A.
The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee. All patients were fully informed about the details of the study protocol and patients provided written informed consent. The ethical principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice were followed.

Baseline examination included medical history, a 3-day voiding diary, assessment of quality of life (OAB-q-SF), post void residual, treatment assessment, urine culture, and urodynamic studies before and after that onabotulinumtoxin A had been administered. Follow-up visits were scheduled at week 1, month 2, month 6, month 9, month 12, and thereafter, every 6 months during the length of follow-up (→ Table 1).

Onabotulinumtoxin A was injected into the detrusor muscle at 20 injecting sites, avoiding the trigone, by using a cystoscope and flexible cystoscopic injection needle under intravenous sedation in outpatient surgery center. Antibiotic prophylaxis with intravenous cefazolin (2 g) was used; in those cases with allergy to cefazolin, vancomycin was used. Onabotulinumtoxin A injections contained a preparation of 100 units diluted with 10 mL 0.9% saline. 0.5 mL were injected in each point with a gap between them of approximately 1 centimeter. Once administered botulinum toxin and after checking that there have been no adverse effects, such as hematuria and assess that the patient urinates properly, the patient is sent home without bladder catheter but with an antibiotic treatment for 3 days. One week after that botulinum toxin has been administered the patient returns to the Hospital to perform a clinical assessment, measurement of the post void residual urine volume and rule out the presence of urinary tract infections. According to the protocol used in our Hospital is recommended rule out urinary infection both before and after administration of botulinum toxin.

Indication of self-catheterization depended of the post void residual urine volume and symptoms. The self-catheterization was usually indicated in those patients with post void residual urine volume greater than 200 mL and/or with symptoms. This study evaluated the number of patients requiring catheterization after administration of botulinum toxin and the duration thereof. During the follow-up of the patients, a worsening as for the clinical parameters they were had in account for the reinjection of the toxin.

Health related quality of life (HRQoL) was assessed by using the Overactive Bladder Questionnaire Short Form (OAB-q SF) Symptom Severity translated and validated in Spanish. The OAB-q SF consists of a 6-item symptom-bother scale and a 13-item HRQoL scale. The HRQoL scale is divided into three subscales: coping (5 items), sleep (3 items), and emotional social (5 items). The results were evaluated by calculating the average score for each of the sections of the questionnaire, symptoms and quality of life, respectively.

Statistical Analysis

A standard statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 15.0 (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive statistics [mean (standard deviation)] were used to report demographic and clinical characteristics. As data were normally distributed, the two-tailed paired-samples Student’s t-test was used to compare means between baseline and post onabotulinumtoxin A injection for quantitative variables. Categorical variables were compared using a Chi-square test. In case that all urodynamic parameters data were not collected for one or more patients, the missing values were replaced with a geometric mean. Comparisons between pre-intervention and post-intervention values were performed for urge urinary incontinence (Incontinence or not with the urgency), nighttime urinary frequency (NUF, number of micturitions at night), daytime urinary frequency (DUF, To tolerate or not mas of 2h without urinating), and number of sanitary napkin per day. Additionally, the differences between baseline and post onabotulinumtoxin A injection values were assessed for the different urodynamic parameters (Volume at first involuntary detrusor contraction, maximum cystometric capacity, maximum detrusor pressure, post void residual, and first desire to void volume). A P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

63 patients fulfilled the respective demands of the inclusion and exclusion criteria. All patients were women with a mean age of 58.9 ± 12.9 years. The measurement of the American Society of Anaesthesiologist (ASA) risk assessment scale shown 13 (14%) patients classified as ASA I, 62 (66%) as ASA II, and 19 (20%) as ASA III, respectively (→ Table 2).

Among the 73 patients included in the study, additional injections were required in 28 (30%) of them, of whom 19 (20%) received onabotulinumtoxin A twice, 8 (9%) were treated three times, and 1 patient received four treatment sessions.

### Tabla 1 Scheduled follow-up visits and data collection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Week 1</th>
<th>Month 2</th>
<th>Month 6</th>
<th>Month 9</th>
<th>Month 12</th>
<th>Every 6 months thereafter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medical history</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voiding diary</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of life</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticholinergic</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post void residual</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine culture</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urodynamic study</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Regarding the onabotulinumtoxin A doses administered in our study, 100 units were used in 89 procedures, 200 units were administered in 5 procedures. Among those patients requiring additional onabotulinumtoxin A treatments, the mean time between injections were 22/6 months between the first and the second dose, 17/6 months between the second and the third treatment, and 20/6 months between the third and the fourth session.

At baseline 92 (98%) patients had urge urinary incontinence as compared with 39 (42%) under onabotulinumtoxin A treatment, \( p < 0.0001 \) (\textit{Table 3}). Similar results were obtained regarding the number of sanitary pads per day, from 2.8/6 1.8 at baseline to 0.5/6 0.8 after treatment, \( p < 0.0001 \). Additionally, the nighttime urinary frequency significantly decreased from 3/6 1.5 at baseline to 1.5/6 1.0 under onabotulinumtoxin A treatment, \( p < 0.0001 \) (\textit{Table 3}).

Regarding the urodynamic parameters, the first desire to void volume significantly improved from 97/6 63 mL to 139/6 81 mL under treatment with onabotulinumtoxin A, \( p = 0.001 \). Similar results were obtained regarding maximum cystometric capacity and volume at first involuntary detrusor contraction, \( p = 0.001 \) and \( p = 0.007 \), respectively (\textit{Table 4}).

Finally, as regards the adverse effects, one patient had a positive urine culture that was successfully resolved with a conventional oral antibiotic regimen. Intermittent catheterization was necessary in 5 patients during the first week after botulinum toxin administration and no patient needed clean intermittent catheterization after three months. In this study, no patient had hematuria or pain after injection.

Although the protocol included the assessment of quality of life, many patients did not complete the questionnaire. This fact has caused that the information concerning HRQoL was not included in the analysis.

**Discussion**

The results of this study suggest that treatment with onabotulinumtoxin A resulted in significant improvements in urodynamic parameters in patients with idiopathic overactive bladder inadequately managed with anticholinergics.

Botulinumtoxin A in the urinary tract was first described by Dykstra et al. in 1988, who injected it into the external urinary sphincter to treat detrusor sphincter dyssynergia in patients with spinal cord injury.3 Subsequently, Schurch et al. in 2000 evaluated the use of onabotulinumtoxin A for the treatment of neurogenic detrusor overactivity in 12 patients with spinal cord injury.7 Additionally, Giannantoni

---

**Tabla 2** Baseline demographic characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female: 73 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male: 0 (0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I: 13 (14%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II: 62 (66%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III: 19 (20%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>58.9 ± 12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticholinergic medicine</td>
<td>40 (85%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*American Society of Anaesthesiologist Risk Assessment Scale.

**Tabla 3** Overview of the values of clinical variables of the study subjects pre- and post-botulinum toxin injection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of patients (%)</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Onabotulinum toxin A</th>
<th>Difference between pre and post (%)</th>
<th>( P ) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Episodes of urge urinary incontinence</td>
<td>92 (98%)</td>
<td>39 (42%)</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urgency</td>
<td>30 (64%)</td>
<td>11 (23%)</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime urinary frequency &gt; 2h</td>
<td>6 (12%)</td>
<td>28 (60%)</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nighttime urinary frequency (hours)</td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of pads per day</td>
<td>2.8 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tabla 4** A comparison of the urodynamic parameters of the study population pre- and post-onabotulinumtoxin A injection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urodynamic parameters</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Onabotulinum toxin A</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>( P ) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First desire to void volume (mL)</td>
<td>97 ± 63</td>
<td>139 ± 81</td>
<td>42 mL (17.4%)</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume at first involuntary detrusor contraction (mL)</td>
<td>99 ± 58</td>
<td>158 ± 95</td>
<td>59 mL (16.2%)</td>
<td>0.007*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum cystometric capacity (mL)</td>
<td>206 ± 104</td>
<td>303 ± 111</td>
<td>97 mL (22.2%)</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum detrusor pressure (cmH2O)</td>
<td>27 ± 13</td>
<td>23 ± 23</td>
<td>−4.0 mL (3.9%)</td>
<td>0.302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post void residual (mL)</td>
<td>15 ± 32</td>
<td>23 ± 52</td>
<td>8 mL (8.9%)</td>
<td>0.357</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*\( P \)-values were considered statistically significant at < 0.05.
et al. published the first controlled study of onabotulinumtoxin A in patients with neurogenic detrusor overactivity.\textsuperscript{19}

Cruz et al. evaluated the effects of onabotulinumtoxin A on urinary incontinence, urodynamic parameters, and quality of life in incontinent patients with neurogenic overactive bladder, finding a significant improvement in all of them.\textsuperscript{20}

Our study in focus in patients with OAB in which there had been verified the intolerance or lack of efficiency of anticholinergics, the results of our study also agree with those published by Nitti et al. that evaluated the efficacy and safety of onabotulinumtoxin A in patients with OAB and urinary incontinence inadequately managed with anticholinergics.\textsuperscript{21}

Moreover, the improvement of the urodynamic parameters found in our study agreed with other studies published up to the date.\textsuperscript{1,11,22–25} Denys et al. reported a significant improvement of the volume at first involuntary detrusor contraction after treatment with 100 units of onabotulinumtoxin A, that in our case with 42 mL I reach a statistically significant difference, \( P = 0.007.\textsuperscript{11}\) Additionally Schmid et al. reported an increase of the mean volume at first desire to void increased from 126 to 212 mL.\textsuperscript{22} Similarly, our study found a significant increase in this urodynamic parameter (\( p = 0.001\)). Dowson et al. reported a significant increase in the maximum cystometric capacity in patients with bladder oversensitivity treated with 100 units of onabotulinumtoxin A.\textsuperscript{24} In agreement with this study we found a significant increase in the maximum cystometric capacity of 97 ± 113 mL, \( p < 0.001\).

We find the mean time to need for retreatment was 20 months in those 19 patients that required a second dose and 17 months in those 8 ones that needed a third session of treatment and 20 months who received four treatment sessions. The mean time to need for retreatment found in our study is slightly higher than that reported by Brubaker et al.,\textsuperscript{26} who reported 370 days. Urinary retention, which is displayed as one of the potential side effects after intravesical injections of botulinum toxin, can lead to the need for intermittent catheterization. Although we noted an increase in mean post void residual from baseline to the last follow-up visit of 8 mL, it was not statistically significant (\( p = 0.357\)). Our results are in line with those previous reports.\textsuperscript{2,10,23}

Furthermore, intermittent catheterization was necessary in 5 (5.3\%) patients during the first week after botulinum toxin administration and no patient needed clean intermittent catheterization for three months. According to the literature the need of catheterization ranges from 10 to 43\%.\textsuperscript{26} The volume recommended for doing a catheterization is not currently defined, although it is often done with symptomatic post void residual volumes above 200 mL or when it is greater than the 40\% of the void volume.\textsuperscript{2} As regards the health related quality of life, the results of the OAB-q SF found in our study suggested a significant improvement in HRQoL in those patients treated with onabotulinumtoxin A. As previously mentioned in the result section, many patients did not complete the questionnaire. Nevertheless, in those patients that completed it the results agreed with those previously published Chapple et al\textsuperscript{2} who reported significant improvements in HRQoL with onabotulinumtoxin A compared with placebo.

We should recognize that there are some limitations in this study, such as referral bias or its open-label design. The lack of standard features of clinical trials such as placebo controls, randomization, and blinding of raters are all inherent limitations of open-label studies. Nevertheless, the fact that the data analysis was conducted in a masked fashion may have reduced the potential for bias. Probably the most important limitation is the lack of information about the parameters of quality of life. Although the assessment of quality of life was planned in the protocol, many patients did not answer the questionnaire. Therefore we have not been able to perform an analysis of the quality of life. Other limitation is this study is that is a single center study. Nevertheless, the fact of including a large number of patients minimizes the impact of such limitation.

### Conclusions

Onabotulinumtoxin A injections significantly improved not only the clinical symptoms but also the urodynamic parameters in patients with idiopathic overactive bladder inadequately managed with anticholinergics. This is a simple technique with minimal adverse effects and generally well tolerated. Further investigations are needed to concentrate on perfecting injection parameters, such as number, volume, and depth of injections.
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