Case report

A case series of reflex myoclonic absence epilepsy of infancy – an age-dependent idiopathic generalized epileptic (IGE) syndrome – with good prognosis
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1. Case reports

1.1. Case no. 1

A previously healthy 10-month-old girl, the only child of unrelated parents, presented with a four-week history of episodes of myoclonus triggered by tactile stimulation to her head and also by loud, sudden acoustic stimulation. Birth history was uneventful and the child achieved milestones at appropriate age. There had been no loss of developmental skills. The video electroencephalogram (EEG) revealed brief generalized polyspike wave activity at 2.5–3 Hz both with and without clinical correlate provoked with stimulation, suggesting reflex myoclonic absences (Fig. 1) (video 1). Neuroimaging study with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI 1.5 T) was within normal limits. Karyotyping showed normal female phenotype (46XY). Cerebrospinal fluid study yielded normal sugar and lactate levels. The infant was started on sodium valproate, which resulted in cessation of the myoclonic episodes one week after starting therapy. At subsequent follow-up after 6 months of initiation of treatment, the infant was seizure-free and a repeat EEG was normal. Valproate was tapered and omitted. Follow-up at 2 years of age showed that child is seizure-free and with normal development.

1.2. Case no. 2

A 1-year-old boy was presented for the evaluation of myoclonic jerks since previous one week. He had normal examination with no significant birth or family history and with normal developmental milestones. Myoclonic jerks were elicited with sudden loud noise and associated with rhythmic shoulder jerking and uprolling of eyes briefly. Video electroencephalograph captured few events with shoulder jerking and eyes upturned with simultaneous ictal generalized discharges of high amplitude spike-wave at 3 Hz.
lasting for 1–3 s (Fig. 2) (video 2). A diagnosis of reflex myoclonic epilepsy of infancy was made. MRI brain was normal. Parents refused CSF studies. The patient was started on low dose valproate (15 mg/kg/day) with gradual step-up. Seizures ceased in few days of initiation of treatment. A repeat EEG after 6 months was normal and valproate was gradually tapered and omitted. He had normal examination and development at follow-up at the age of 2.5 years.

1.3. Case no. 3

Similar to above cases, a 1-year-old girl was presented for onset of startle-induced myoclonias since last few days. She did not have spontaneous myoclonias. She has normal birth history and development with no family history of seizures. Electroencephalography showed interictal polyspike-wave discharges with...
normal background, at times with frequency of 2.5–3 Hz lasting for 1 s. With previous experience, started on valproate at low dose, she showed excellent response with complete cessation. Repeat EEG after 6 months was normal with normal development; hence valproate was omitted. Follow-up at 2 years of age showed normal age appropriate development (Table 1 – summary of all cases).

2. Discussion

Benign myoclonic epilepsy in infancy (BMEI) is characterized by the occurrence of myoclonic seizures (MS) in the first 3 years of life in normal infants. It has been classified among the idiopathic generalized epilepsies (IGE) in the 1989 International Classification (Commission on Classification and Terminology of the International League Against Epilepsy, 1989), and now included as myoclonic epilepsy in infancy (MEI) among the electroclinical syndromes arranged by age at onset in infancy, in the recent report of the ILAE Commission on Classification and Terminology.5

Ricci et al., in 1995, described cases with “reflex MS”, triggered by unexpected noise or touch and have proposed to name this clinical entity: “reflex myoclonic epilepsy in infancy - RMEI”. Since then many case reports have been published in literature describing RMEI.7–9 RMEI may be under-described and under-evaluated because of the short duration of the event, possibly misinterpreted as “excessive startle reaction” in otherwise healthy children. Verroti et al. carried out a multicenter study by collecting data of children with RMEI.8 They proposed criteria for inclusion and exclusion for diagnosis of RMEI.

All children had diagnosis based upon the following criteria: (1) symmetric myoclonic jerks, triggered by sudden unexpected tactile or acoustic stimuli; (2) onset of MS in the first 2 years of life; (3) normal pregnancies and perinatal history; (4) normal neuroradiological and metabolic investigations; (5) MS associated with an EEG discharge of generalized high-amplitude spike-wave (SW) or polyspikewave (PSW) at 3 Hz on normal background activity.6–9

Exclusion criteria were: (1) other seizure types (partial, tonic, tonic–clonic, atonic, asymmetric myoclonias, and atypical absences); (2) abnormal pre-, peri-, and postnatal history; (3) abnormal neurological examination; (4) abnormal psychomotor development (delay or regression); and (5) pathological findings on neuroradiological and metabolic investigations.6–9

Many authors have not supported RMEI’s designation as a separate syndrome from MEI, considering a single clinical and nosographic syndrome.1–3 However, there is enough evidence from literature to differentiate these two clinical entities. Despite apparent similar findings, they differ in many ways:

(1) The most important difference is the complete absence of provoking factors in MEI and which is consistent finding in RMEI.1–3,6–9

(2) Children with RMEI never show drop attacks that can be common in MEI.1–3,6–9

(3) Onset of seizures in RMEI is at an earlier age (9 months to 1 year in this case series) whereas mean duration of onset of MEI is at later ages. RMEI appears to have earlier onset than MEI: onset at 11 months in RMEI whereas the mean age at onset in MEI is 20 months.1–3,6–9

(4) Pharmacological treatment with VPA appears to be more effective in RMEI than in MEI. All our patients were seizure-free within weeks of initiation of treatment and were able to wean off antiepileptics after 6 months. Patients with MEI often require long-term treatment and discontinuing therapy in MEI may cause seizures to relapse.1–3

RMEI is a rare clinical entity. In this study, we clarify clinical and EEG findings with particular attention to cognitive outcome. Ricci et al. mentioned significant percentage of family history for IGE and/or febrile convulsions in first- or second-degree relatives, and the occurrence of febrile seizures in some patients, suggesting possibility of a complex genetic inheritance. In our study, no family history of seizures was noticed, although case numbers are limited.

All children were born to healthy parents after normal pregnancies and deliveries and all showed normal findings to neurological investigations. Interictal EEG showed normal background activity, with intermittent generalized discharges occurring spontaneously. Ictal EEG was characterized by generalized, brief, irregular, high-amplitude SW and PSW discharge at 3 Hz activity and hence mentioned as reflex myoclonic absence epilepsy.

Clinical and EEG findings allow to differentiate RMEI from other epileptic syndromes with myoclonic manifestations (myoclonic–astatic epilepsy, Dravet syndrome, intractable infantile epilepsy and neonatal myoclonic encephalopathy, West syndrome, and Lennox–Gastaut syndrome).7 Cognitive outcome with neuropsychological difficulties is present in one-third of children affected by MEI;3 whereas patients with RMEI have an excellent cognitive outcome, as showed in our patients as well as other reports.6–9

RMEI also differs clearly from startle epilepsy, which is characterized by seizures and an excessive startle reaction to sudden stimuli that occurs across a wide age range. It arises from brain damage, particularly hypoxic ischemic pre- and perinatal lesions, which is never described with RMEI. Clinical and EEG patterns are also variable such as partial, tonic, atonic, and myoclonic seizures. Startle epilepsy is often refractory to treatment.10

Distinctive EEG and EMG findings also differentiate RMEI from non-epileptic manifestations characterized by an excessive startle response: hyperekplexia or startle disease. It is an autosomal dominant disease characterized by exaggerated startle reflex and neonatal hypertonia.10

In conclusion, we proposed that reflex myoclonic absence epilepsy is an age-dependent idiopathic generalized epileptic (IGE) syndrome, with an apparently good prognosis. It needs to be recognized separately as a new syndrome and should be differentiated from the other myoclonic epilepsies of same age. Reflex myoclonic absence epilepsy needs syndromic recognition distinct from the myoclonic epilepsy of infancy (MEI).
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### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case no.</th>
<th>Age onset</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Family hist.</th>
<th>Trigger stimuli</th>
<th>Spontaneous jerks</th>
<th>Ictal EEG</th>
<th>Interictal EEG</th>
<th>Investigations</th>
<th>AED</th>
<th>Treatment duration</th>
<th>FOP in years</th>
<th>Psychomotor development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9 month</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Startle and tactile</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Brief 3 Hz GSWD</td>
<td>Irregular GSWD</td>
<td>MRI brain N, karyotyping N, CSF N</td>
<td>VPA</td>
<td>6 month</td>
<td>2 years of age</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12 month</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Startle and tactile</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Brief 3 Hz GSWD</td>
<td>Irregular GSWD</td>
<td>MRI brain N</td>
<td>VPA</td>
<td>6 month</td>
<td>2.5 years of age</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12 month</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Startle and tactile</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Brief 3 Hz GSWD</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>No other investigations</td>
<td>VPA</td>
<td>6 month</td>
<td>2 years of age</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: GSWD = generalized spike and wave discharges; GSPWD = generalized polyspike and wave discharges; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; CSF = cerebrospinal fluid; VPA = valproate; FOP = follow-up period; EEG = electroencephalogram; EEG = electroencephalogram.
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