

### Role of intraoperative MRI in epilepsy surgeries: Preliminary experience for two years



Nilesh Kurwale, P. Sarat Chandra\*, Vivek Tandon, Ajay Garg, Chitra Sarkar, Madhavi Tripathi, C.S. Bal, Manjari Tripathi

Dept of Neurosurgery and Center of Excellence  
AIIMS, New Delhi, India  
E-mail address: [saratpchandra3@gmail.com](mailto:saratpchandra3@gmail.com)  
(P.S. Chandra).

**Background:** Epilepsy surgery often involves resection substrates that are subtle and often require special MRI sequences to detect them. Guidance at the time of surgery is often by visual cues, neuronavigation and EEG parameters. Intraoperative MRI (iMRI) may provide better guidance especially in providing information about total excision that has a clear impact on outcome.

**Objective:** To determine the usefulness of iMRI in epilepsy surgeries with regards to extent of surgical resection and seizure outcome along.

**Study design:** Longitudinal, observational.

**Methods:** Patients undergoing epilepsy surgeries in intraoperative MRI OT were primarily evaluated for extent of resection, operative time, pathologies, resultant extra resection, and seizure outcome along with complications.

**Results:** Thirty-nine patients with mean age of 18 years (range 3–65 years) with PRE underwent surgical intervention. Mean duration of seizures was 10.2 years. Surgical interventions included tumor resection (23%), resection of focal cortical dysplasia (28%), medial temporal lobe surgeries (18%) and disconnection surgeries (31%). In 13% (5 out of 39) of these patients, iMRI was decisive and resulted in increased resection of lesions despite extensive use of electrocorticography and navigation in all cases. This is only observed for lesional pathologies where it made 36.6% change in surgeon's intraoperative decision. Complete resection was observed in (89%) of patients. It is at the cost of 24% increase in operative time without any clinical complications of prolonged anesthesia and surgeries. Major and minor complications were observed in 2.5% and 10% of patients respectively. The mean follow-up was 14 months. Favorable postoperative seizure control (Engel Classes I and II) was achieved in 85% and complete seizure freedom in 77% patients.

**Conclusions:** iMRI increases the extent of resection mainly in extratemporal lesional epilepsy surgeries translating in good seizure outcomes and not found to be much beneficial in medial temporal sclerosis surgeries and disconnection surgeries. Increase in operative times does not found to lead any clinical significant complications.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijep.2015.12.020>

### Use of multi-modal imaging to improve the localization of epileptogenic focus



Nilesh Kurwale, P. Sarat Chandra\*, Vivek Tandon, Ajay Garg, Chitra Sarkar, Madhavi Tripathi, C.S. Bal, Manjari Tripathi

Dept of Neurosurgery and Center of Excellence  
AIIMS, New Delhi, India  
E-mail address: [saratpchandra3@gmail.com](mailto:saratpchandra3@gmail.com)  
(P.S. Chandra).

Multi-modal imaging using MRI, PET, SPECT along with MEG provides the best possible option to localize the epileptogenic focus non-invasively. The option to use it with intra-operative MRI along with neuronavigation provides the best possible option to localize the epileptogenic focus. The paper describes the centre's experience in localizing the epileptogenic focus for extra temporal and lateral temporal pathologies by co-registering MRI, PET, SPECT and MEG. In addition, intra operative ECoG was used to localize the focus at surgery. The center has now experience with over 100 cases from an experience of over 1500 cases of epilepsy surgery where this technique was used. The preliminary experience is described here.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijep.2015.12.021>

Abstract – 9<sup>th</sup> AESC Udaipur, 2015

### How to decide the candidates of epilepsy surgery?



Eun-ik Son

Neurosurgery and Epilepsy Center, Keimyung University Hospital, Daegu, Republic of Korea

**Introduction:** Patients are considered for the epilepsy surgery if they have medically intractable epilepsy that interferes with quality of life significantly. Our sequential protocol for presurgical evaluation consists of a battery of tests designed to both lateralize and localize the epileptogenic region. Intractable patients with incidental small lesions on high-resolution MRI could also enter into this protocol to identify adjacent and/or remote epileptogenic areas.

**Presurgical evaluation:** Phase I (non-invasive studies); All patients evaluated for surgery undergo complete clinical history and detailed semiology, electrophysiologic (scalp EEG and 24-h video-EEG monitoring) and neuroimaging (CT, MRI, SPECT, PET scan) studies, and neuropsychological studies with intracarotid amobarbital procedure (IAP). Data from the non-invasive evaluation are analyzed in an attempt to localize the area of brain responsible for initiation of the patient's habitual seizures. If suggests a localized area responsible for the seizures, surgery is recommended. Phase II (invasive monitoring); Intracranial recordings are performed in patients who may be good candidates for surgery but who do not meet criteria for surgery based on noninvasive evaluation. Multiple types of implanted electrodes, such as subdural strip, grid and/or depth electrodes may be used. The type and location of electrodes are carefully determined to prevent sampling error.