

Demographics, injury characteristics and outcome of traumatic brain injuries at a general surgical unit

M K Saxena MS, H P Rai M Ch, O P Maheshwari MS*

Department of Surgery

Military Hospital, Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh-284001 & * Distt Hospital, Jhansi

Abstract: Management of head trauma has evolved from the period of Edwin smith papyrus description of trephination, through the period of Hippocrates to Alexandrian school and Galen to the development of modern Neurosurgical Centers. However, in a country like India a large number of the traumatic head injuries are still being primarily managed at level I and Level II General Surgical Units. We wish to share different perspectives of managing head injuries in a General Surgical unit, based on demographics, injury characteristics and outcome as determinants for management strategies.

Keywords: demographics, head injury

INTRODUCTION

Head trauma is not known to occur in predetermined geographical relationship or proximity to a Neurosurgical Center. Quite frequently it is managed in general surgical units at level I and level II Trauma centers. Traumatic head injury in a general surgical unit can be viewed in different perspectives as enumerated below:

Facilities for diagnosis: these are mostly limited to clinical assessment and skull x rays. CT scans are not normally available at the hospital, and requires to be outsourced.

Facilities for critical care: are few and limited with only few hospitals having facilities of ventilator. Facilities for monitoring are mostly limited to clinical monitoring. ICP monitoring is virtually non-existent in such setups.

Facilities for Neurosurgical intervention: depends upon surgical skills of general surgeon, and availability of a qualified neurosurgeon in the immediate environment.

An obvious inference would be to develop suitable management protocols to ensure best results. We wish to present a retrospective study of 67 cases of head injury managed at our general surgery department during last two years.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 67 patients were admitted and managed at this centre during the preceding two years. The cases

were assessed clinically with thorough history taking, general assessment of vital parameters and neurological examination based on Glasgow Coma Score (GCS), pupillary signs, and clinical monitoring of ICP based on careful watch for any tendency of falling pulse rates or increasing blood pressure. Depending upon the presentation and findings as well as clinical evidence of deteriorating head injury, CT examination was ordered for 48 cases. It is worth mentioning that this place does not have any facilities for CT scan after midnight till next morning.

The management protocol was customized as per the condition and severity of injury. Most of the cases were managed on intravenous fluids (mainly isotonic crystalloids), with a broad spectrum antibiotic, H₂ receptor antagonist, anti oedema measures like frusemide, or mannitol depending upon the severity of injury and dilantin sodium where indicated. No patient in the study was given steroids. Neurosurgical intervention was carried out in cases where pressure effects were seen on CT scan. No monitoring of ICP was done as the facility was not available.

RESULTS

The gender distribution of cases showed a male predominance with 58 males as compared to 09 female patients (Table I). The adult head trauma victims were again more common with an incidence of 54 as compared to 13 child victims of head trauma. As regards the mechanism of trauma Road traffic accidents accounted for maximum cases being causative agents in 48 cases. Eleven cases occurred due to fall from height,

Address for correspondence:

Col MK Saxena MS

Military Hospital, Jhansi 28400 (Uttar Pradesh)

out of which 05 were children. Three victims were brought to hospital without any definite eye witness account, having been picked up in an unconscious state (Table II). Clinical findings at admission varied from a large number of patients (36) more or less conscious or having a GCS of 9-15. Twenty two patients presented with GCS of 5-8, and 9 patients had severe head injury and moribund state, having GCS in range of 3-5 (Table III). The Outcome: Six patients died, and varying degree of residual neurological morbidity was seen in 19 cases. Follow up period ranged from 03 months to two years. Table IV correlates the different factors with management and outcome.

Table 1: Age and sex determinants

S.No	Male	Female	Children	Adults
1	58	09	13	54

Table 2: Mechanism of injury as a determinant

S.No	Mode Of injury	Numbers
1	Road traffic Accidents	48
2	Falls from height	11
3	Assault	03
4.	Sports injury	02
5	Found unconscious	03
	Total	

Table 3: clinico-radiological determinants

S.No	Findings		
1	GCS 3-5	08	
	GCS 6-8	23	
	GCS 9-15	36	
	Positive CT Scan	38	
	Clinical signs of raised ICP	09	

Table 4: Factors as determinants of management & outcome

NO. OF CASES					MANAGEMENT			OUTCOME	
	No	Eye Signs	CT Scan		Conservative	Operative	Mortality	Residual morbidity	Functional recovery
GCS	3-5	9	all	all	2	7	4	5	nil
	6-8	22	6	all	18	4	2	10	12
	9-15	36	2	33	33	3	nil	4	32

DISCUSSION

Head trauma remains a significant cause of mortality and morbidity. The management has evolved since ancient times with Hippocrates giving first classification of skull fractures. Alexandrian school provided further insights in monitoring, and Galen giving his own classification of skull fractures and refining trephining^{1,2}. Different studies have been carried out establishing relationship between GCS scoring and outcome^{3,4}. It has been observed that GCS at admission and its improvement

or deterioration compares well with an improving or deteriorating head injury, and can well be used to modulate the management options in absence of facilities for ICP monitoring. It has been observed in another study that different injury patterns do correlate with mortality⁵. CT Scan was found to be definitive in as far as assessment of head trauma was concerned. Moreover it correlated well with management options and outcome prediction as well. Although there are reports in literature of repeat CT Scan showing up haematomas, residual or recurrent following craniotomy⁶, it was not feasible for the simple reason that there was no facility available at the hospital and patients were not in a state to be transported. There has been no unanimous opinion on the best IV Fluid therapy as regards management. However use of isotonic saline has found favour in literature⁷ and has been used in this study.

CONCLUSION

It has been our experience that in spite of limitations of management at the level of a general surgical unit if a customized protocol is adhered to head trauma patients can be managed with a favourable outcome.

REFERENCES

- Sanchez G M, Burrige AL. Decision making in head injury management in the Edwin Smith Papyrus. *Neurosurg Focus* 2007;23(1):E5.
- Kshetry VR, Mindea S A: The management of cranial injuries in antiquity and beyond. *Neurosurg. Focus* : 2007;23(1):E8.
- Foreman B P, Caesar R R, Parks J, et al. Usefulness of the abbreviated injury score and the injury severity score in comparison to the Glasgow Coma Scale in predicting outcome after traumatic brain injury. *J Trauma* 2007; 62:946-50.
- MRC Crash trial collaborators, Perrel P, Arrango M, Clayton T, Edwards P, Komolafe E, Poccock S, Roberts I, Shakur H, Steyerberg E, Yutthakasemsunt SS. Predicting outcome after traumatic brain injury: practical prognostic models based on large cohorts of international patients. *BMJ* 2008; 336(7641):425-9.
- Bauza G, Lamorte W W, Burke P A, Hirsch E F. High mortality in elderly drivers is associated with distinct injury patterns: analysis of 187,869 injured drivers. *J Trauma* 2008; 64:304-10.
- Paci G M, Sise M J, Sise C B, et al. The need for immediate computed tomography scan after emergency craniotomy for head injury. *J Trauma* 2008;64:326-33.
- Tommasino C, Piccozi V. Volume and electrolyte management. *Clin Anaesthesiol* 2007; 21:497-516.