Randomized controlled trial of magnesium sulphate in severe closed traumatic brain injury

SS Dhandapani M Ch, Aditya Gupta M Ch, S Vivekanandhan* M Sc B S Sharma M Ch, AK Mahapatra M Ch

Departments of Neurosurgery and Neurobiochemistry*, Neurosciences centre All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi

Abstract: Magnesium decline is likely to play an important role in the pathogenesis of Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI). This study was undertaken to test the therapeutic efficacy and safety of parenterally administered Magnesium sulphate (MgSO₄) in patients of severe closed TBI. Adult patients admitted within 12 hours of closed TBI with Glasgow coma score 5 to 8 fulfilling eligibility criteria were randomized to two groups, one group receiving 'standard care' and the other, MgSO₄ in addition as per the Pritchard regimen. The outcome measures were Glasgow outcome scale at 3months and other relevant clinical parameters. Seventy patients were randomized after obtaining informed consent, and 30 in each group remained in the study till 3 months. Favorable outcome was observed in 22 out of 30 patients (73.3%) who had received $MgSO_4$, as compared with 12 out of 30 (40%) in control group. Univariate analysis revealed an odds ratio (OR) of 4.13 (95% CI 1.39-12.27) and the P value was 0.009. In the logistic regression analysis, the adj. OR was 4.24 (95% CI 1.1-16.36) and the P value was 0.036. The secondary outcomes analyzed in MgSO₄ group showed significant difference with respect to intra-operative brain swelling at the end of surgical decompression and mortality at 1 month. No significant adverse effects were observed. Parenteral MgSO₄ appears to have some favorable influence on mortality and intra-operative brain swelling without any significant adverse effects.

Keywords: Severe traumatic brain injury, Magnesium sulphate, Pritchard regimen, Outcome

Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) is the most common cause of death and disability in young people¹. There is no single pharmacotherapeutic agent that has shown efficacy in the treatment of clinical TBI2. However in the last two decades, it has become clear that the effects of the traumatic event are not instantaneous, but a substantial component of neuronal cell death resulting from the primary injury may begin many hours later³. Furthermore and most importantly, these continuing effects of trauma, known as secondary injury are not irreversible, but mediated by injury factors, which if identified and treated with anti-factors can prevent or at least attenuate the injury process and result in significant improvement in functional outcome after TBI4. Of these, magnesium decline has been identified as playing a crucial role⁵, and its supplementation has been found to improve neurological outcome in animal models of TBI^{6,7,8}. This study was undertaken to test the efficacy and safety of parenterally administered Magnesium sulphate (MgSO₄)

Address for Correspondence: Dr. Aditya Gupta Assoc. Professor, Dept. of Neurosurgery, AIIMS, New Delhi -110029. Ph: (011) 26588700 Extn. 4914 in patients of severe closed traumatic brain injury.

METHODS

Patients with TBI admitted to the Neurosurgery department at our institute from January to December 2004, fulfilling the following criteria were taken up for the trial.

INCLUSION CRITERIA

- 1. Closed TBI
- 2. Admission Glasgow coma score (GCS) 5,6,7 and 8
- 3. Age 18 to 60
- 4. Evaluated for randomization within 12 hours of injury.
- 5. Consenting guardian/ attendant

EXCLUSION CRITERIA

- 1. Hypotension (systolic BP \leq 90 mmHg for \geq 10 min)
- 2. Bilateral absent pupillary light reflex.
- 3. Extradural hematoma (> 5 mm thick on CT scan)
- 4. Known case of renal failure
- 5. Significant multisystem injury

6. Spinal injury

A computer generated randomization list was used and patients included in the trial were allocated to either of the two groups- one group receiving only 'standard care' and the other, $MgSO_4$ in addition.

Standard care consisted of ventilation, seizure prophylaxis with Phenytoin, antibitiotic prophylaxis with Netilmicin and Cefotaxime or Ceftriaxone, gastric ulcer prophylaxis with Ranitidine, and urinary catheterization done in all. Mannitol was given to patients with CT having evidence of focal mass effect or diffuse edema. Frusemide was added to patients with midline shift (>5 mm). Fluid and electrolyte homeostasis was maintained. Decision regarding ICP monitoring and surgical decompression was taken according to the mass effect noted in CT and was individualized to each patient. Patients allocated to MgSO₄ group received the same within 30 min of randomization as per the Pritchard regimen⁹.

MGSO₄ REGIMEN BY PRITCHARD⁹

Formulation: 2 ml of 50% solution of MgSO₄·7H₂O containing 1 gm of the same

Initiation: 4 gm MgSO₄ given IV as 20% soln. over 5-10 min, 5 gm MgSO₄ given IM as 50% soln. in upper outer quadrant of both buttocks through a 3 inch long 20 gauge needle (Total 14 gm)

Continuation: 5 gm MgSO₄ given IM as 50% soln. every 4 hourly till 24 hours after the first dose

MgSO, dose was withheld if

- a) Urine output < 100 ml in 4 hrs
- b) Blood urea > 50 gm/ dL
- c) Fall of systolic BP < 90 mm Hg

Age, sex, regular alcohol intake, injury due to vehicular accidents, time since injury, BP, post-resuscitation admission GCS, admission urea and serum ionic magnesium (Mg²+) levels (samples of 6 patients were not appropriate for Mg²+ evaluation due to hemolysis), Traumatic Coma Data Bank (TCDB) CT category¹⁰ at admission, repeat CT findings after 6 hours and further upto discharge, urine output charting, details of surgical intervention, Intracranial pressure (ICP) recordings, clinical & laboratory parameters, and adverse events noted (any unwanted effect detected regardless of whether the effect could be attributed to the

intervention) were entered in a pre-planned prospective database and were followed up till discharge or death.

OUTCOMES

The primary outcome was Glasgow outcome scale (GOS)¹¹ assessed at 3 months either directly or over telephone. Good recovery or moderate disability was considered as favorable and severe disability, persistent vegetative state or death was considered as unfavorable outcome. The secondary outcomes assessed were fresh development of mass effect in CT, mean intracranial pressure (ICP), intra-op brain swelling at the end of decompression necessitating duraplasty and/or bone flap removal, development of areas of infarction, prolonged ventilation, duration of hospitalization and mortality at 1 month.

STATISTICAL ANALYSES

All analyses followed on-treatment principle. Patients who were lost to follow-up were excluded from the analyses. SPSS 10 software (SPSS Inc,USA) was used for the statistical analyses. Proportions were compared by using chi-square tests or Fisher's exact test wherever appropriate. Continuous variables were compared by using independent-samples T test. Subgroup analyses were done using Breslow-Day test of homogeneity of odds ratios. Multivariate analyses were conducted with logistic regression adjusting for well known prognostic factors such as age, GCS, and TCDB category. Two sided significance tests were used throughout, and the significance level was kept at *p*=0.05.

RESULTS

Seventy patients admitted during the study period who fulfilled the eligibility criteria were randomized to two groups of 35 each for the trial (Fig 1). In the test group, one did not receive MgSO₄ due to hypotension, another due to oliguria and three others were lost to follow-up. In the control group, five patients were lost to follow-up. The final study population consisted of 60 patients, with 30 in each group.

There were no significant differences between the groups in demographic characteristics or injury severity at baseline (Table 1).

OUTCOMES

Primary outcome

Favorable outcome of good recovery or moderate




Fig 1: Trial flow diagram

Table1: Baseline characteristics

Characteristic		Control (n=30)	MgSO ₄ (n=30)	p value	
Mean age in yrs (SD)		37.6 (±12.9)	33.6 (±10.6)	0.20	
No. of women		6 (20%)	1 (3.3%)	0.10	
Regular alcohol intake		6 (20%)	8 (26.7%)	0.54	
No. of vehicular accidents		26 (86.7%)	30 (100%)	0.11	
Trial entry interval	n ≤ 6 hrs	19 (63.3%)	17 (56.7%)	0.60	
	Mean value hrs (SD)	5.5 (±3.3)	6.7 (<u>+</u> 3.4)	0.15	
GCS -	5	8 (26.7%)	5 (16.7%)	0.71	
	6	6 (20%)	5 (16.7%)		
	7	9 (30%)	10 (33.3%)		
	8	7 (23.3%)	10 (33.3%)		
Motor score of GCS	3	9 (30%)	6 (20%)		
	4	9 (30%)	5 (16.7%)	0.19	
	5	12 (40%)	19 (63.3%)		
TCDB CT category	I	1 (3.3%)	0 (0%)	0.47	
	П	9 (30%)	12 (40%)		
	III	9 (30%)	7 (23.3%)		
	IV	5 (16.7%)	2 (6.7%)		
	Mass lesion > 25 cc (V)	6 (20%)	9 (30%)		
CT status of cisterns	TCDB I, II	10 (33.3%)	12 (40%)		
	III, IV, V	20 (66.7%)	18 (60%)	0.59	
Mean admission serum ionic Mg ²⁻ in mmol/L		0.37 (±0.06)	0.38 (±0.05)	0.51	
No. underwent surgical decompression		15 (50%)	17 (56.7%)	0.61	

disability at 3 months was observed in 22 out of 30 patients (73.3%) who had received $MgSO_4$, as compared with 12 out of 30 (40%) in control group (Fig 2). The odds ratio (OR) was 4.13 (95% Confidence interval 1.39-12.27) and the p value was 0.009. Good recovery was

seen in 14 out of 30 (46.7%) in MgSO₄ group as compared with 6 out of 30 (20%) in control group. The OR was 3.5 (95% CI 1.11-11.02) and the p value was 0.028. Both were statistically significant. The number of patients dead, in persistent vegetative state, with severe disability and moderate disability were 14 & 4, 2 & 0, 2 & 4, 6 & 8 in control and MgSO₄ groups respectively (Fig 2).




Fig 2: Primary outcome (GOS) at 3 months

Secondary outcomes

In patients belonging to TCDB CT category I to IV, 5 out of 21 in $MgSO_4$ group and 3 out of 24 in control group had worsening of mass effect in the form of progression to higher category in CT repeated after 6 hours. It was not statistically significant (OR 2.2, p=0.44). The mean of ICP recordings performed in 3 non-surgical patients each in either group was $7.67(\pm 2.1)$ and $8.00(\pm 1.0)$ mm Hg respectively and was not statistically significant (Mean difference -0.33, p=0.82).

Among those in TCDB III-V, surgical decompression was done for 17 and 15 patients in $MgSO_4$ and control groups respectively. Small frontal contusion & normal ICP was noted in 1 and 2 patients in $MgSO_4$ and control groups, and 3 in control group had small frontal contusions with diffuse edema and were therefore managed conservatively. Significant intra-operative brain swelling at the end of the surgery was noted in 5 out of 17 (29.4%) and 11 out of 15 (73.3%) patients in $MgSO_4$ and control groups respectively, which was statistically significant (OR 0.15, p=0.01).

Development of areas of infarction was seen in 2 and 6 patients in MgSO₄ and control groups respectively. Prolonged ventilation (> 7 days) was noted in 18 out of 30 and 16 out of 23 patients surviving more than 7 days in MgSO₄ and control groups respectively. The mean duration of hospitalization for patients alive was 23.1 and 23.5 days in MgSO₄ and control groups respectively.

None of the above was significant.

The number of patients dead at 1 month was 4 (13.3%) and 13 (43.3%) in $MgSO_4$ and control groups respectively, which was statistically significant (OR 0.2, p=0.01).

Table 2: Secondary outcomes

Secondary outcomes		Control	MgSO ₄	OR/Differenc e* (95% CI)	p value
Categorical	Fresh development of mass effect in CT	3/ 24 (12.5%)	5/ 21 (23.8%)	2.2 (0.45- 10.54)	0.44
	Intra-op brain swelling	11/15 (73.3%)	5/ 17 (29.4%)	0.15 (0.03- 0.71)	0.01
	Areas of infarction	6 (20%)	2 (6.7%)	0.29 (0.05- 1.55)	0.25
	Ventilation > 7d	16/23 (69.6%)	18/ 30 (60%)	0.66 (0.21- 2.07)	0.47
	Mortality < 1 mth	13 (43.3%)	4 (13.3%)	0.2 (0.06- 0.72)	0.01
Continuous*	Mean ICP mm Hg (SD) in non-surgical pts	8 (±1)	7.67 (±2.1)	-0.33 (-4 to 3.4)	z.82
	Mean duration of hospitalization in days (SD) for patients alive	21.13 (±11.9)	23.54 (±22)	2.41(- 9.7to14.5)	0.69

Table 3: Subgroup analyses

Subgroups		Good recovery or moderate disability				
		Control	MgSO ₄	OR (95%CI)	value'	
A priori	CT category	1, 11	6/ 10 (60%)	9/ 12 (75%)	2 (0.3-12.3)	0.34
		III, IV, V	6/ 20 (30%)	13/ 18 (72.2%)	6.1 (1.5-24.8)	
	Trial entry	≤ 6 hrs	11/ 19 (57.9%)	14/ 17 (82.4%)	3.4 (0.7-15.9)	0.27
		> 6 hrs	1/ 11 (9.1%)	8/ 13 (61.5%)	16 (1.5-166)	
	Therapy	Non- surgical	6/ 15 (40%)	10/ 13 (76.9%)	5 (1-26.1)	0.77
		Surgical	6/ 15 (40%)	12/ 17 (70.6%)	3.6 (0.8-15.6)	
Post-hoc	GCS	5, 6	4/ 14 (28.6%)	8/ 10 (80%)	10 (1.4-69.3)	0.23
		7,8	8/ 16 (50%)	14/ 20 (70%)	2.3 (0.6-9.2)	
	Admission serum ionic Mg ²⁺	< 0.4 mmol/L	8/ 18 (44.4%)	14/ 19 (73.7%)	3.5 (0.9-13.9)	0.65
		≥ 0.4 mmol/L	4/ 8 (50%)	6/ 9 (66.7%)	2 (0.3-14.2)	

^{*} Breslow-Day test of homogeneity of OR

The effect of MgSO₄ in improving outcome in various subgroups of patients categorized apriori on the basis of CT category, trial entry interval, surgical decompression and post-hoc on the basis of GCS, and admission serum ionic Mg²⁺ levels did not reveal any significant subgroup difference (Table 3).

Adjusting for well known prognostic factors such as age, GCS, effacement of cisterns in CT (TCDB III-V), and also early trial entry (<6 hrs), low admission serum ionic Mg^{2+} (<0.4 mmol/L), the logistic regression model was found to be significant (p<0.05) with respect to the following outcome parameters- good recovery, good

recovery or moderate disability, absence of intra-operative brain swelling and mortality at 1 month (Fig 3). Among the other factors, significant association was found between early trial entry with good recovery or moderate disability (Adj. OR 8.2, p=0.008) and CT finding of uneffaced cisterns (TCDB I, II) with good recovery (Adj. OR 4.67, p=0.04).




Fig 3: Logistic regression

In the control group, 9 patients died of neurological deterioration, 2 due to pneumonia, 2 due to renal failure, and 1 due to septicemia. In the MgSO₄ group, 3 patients died of neurological deterioration and 1 due to pneumonia. The adverse events noted in MgSO₄ and control groups were as noted (Table 4).

 Table 4: Adverse events

Advers e event	Control	$MgSO_4$	
	Renal failure	2	1
	Hypotension	2	3
	Seizures	2	3
< 1 month	Pneumonia	8	7
	Coagulopathy	3	1
	Meningitis	4	6
> 1 month	Hydrocephalus	0	2

DISCUSSION

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a process beginning with an initial impact followed by secondary events in four overlapping phases- primary injury, evolution of the primary injury, secondary injury and recovery¹². Unfortunately the clinical trials that have attempted to inhibit individual secondary factors^{1,2,3}- lipid peroxidation (steroids and Tirilizad), free radical injury (Superoxide dismutase), calcium influx (Nimodipine), glutamate

excitotoxicity (Selfotel), apoptosis (Cyclosporine A), and edema (substance P antagonists) have met with very limited success due to the heterogeneous nature of secondary injury¹³ that includes secondary mass lesions and edema, secondary ischemic brain damage¹⁴ and secondary axonal injury³.

Magnesium is the second most abundant intracellular cation and is present in more than 300 enzymatic systems, crucial for ATP metabolism and protein synthesis and is also an essential transmembrane and intracellular modulator of electrical activity¹⁵.

It affects a number of secondary injury factors⁵, the most predominant action in animal models being on NMDA excitotoxicity either by receptor blockade¹⁶, or by decreasing glutamate release¹⁷. The other neuroprotective mechanisms proposed are calcium channel antagonism¹⁸, maintenance of cerebral blood flow¹⁹, apoptosis prevention², and â amyloid precursor protein upregulation³.

Vink et al demonstrated 70% decline of intracellular free Mg²⁺ in the cortex of brain-injured rats, using ³¹P MRS, within the first hour of injury and non-recovery of the same over the next 3 hours²⁰. They later demonstrated its correlation with functional outcome²¹. In a small group of patients with severe TBI, plasma total Mg was found to be low²². Parallel decrease in free ionized Mg²⁺ has been found in animal²³ and human²⁴ studies which correlated with the outcome²³ and severity²⁴. This is most likely due to enhanced glucose metabolism by glycolysis^{25, 26} and can also be due to urinary losses²². Supporting experimental studies have also demonstrated that inducing a brain Mg deficiency prior to injury exacerbates the functional deficits and that attenuating the post-traumatic decline attenuates the functional deficits after TBI²⁷.

Administration of Mg salts after experimental TBI was found to improve neurological outcome⁶⁻⁸, both with respect to motor⁷ and cognitive performance⁸. It was also noted that Mg treatment significantly reduced the volume of cortical histological lesion following experimental TBI in rats²⁸. Heath et al demonstrated a potential therapeutic window of 24 hours after trauma in rats²⁹. In their experiments, Mg therapy significantly improved motor outcome when administered upto 24 hours after injury with earlier administration resulting in more pronounced improvement. Repeated administration beyond 24 hours did not further improve

outcome²⁹.

Our results show a significant association of MgSO with favorable outcome (Fig 3), as previously seen in experimental studies^{6,7,8}. The only other parameter showing significant association with MgSO, was intraoperative brain swelling known to be caused predominantly by cytotoxic edema^{30,31} due to Glutamate activated NMDA receptor mediated Ca2+ influx on which Mg acts³². Areas of infarction were 3.4 times less frequent in the MgSO4 group (Table 2). Though statistically not significant, probably due to the small number of patients in our trial, it may be clinically relevant considering the importance of ischemia in secondary injury^{1,14,30}, and the proven efficacy of MgSO₄ in delayed cerebral ischemia of SAH³³. Among patients of TCDB CT category I & II, the odds ratio of favorable outcome in MgSO₄ group was 2 times the control (Tab 3). Though not significant, this may reflect the possible role of Mg in attenuation of secondary axotomy³. These three neuroprotective effects may have acted together in improving outcome and reducing mortality in the MgSO₄ group in our study.

Though the Pritchard regimen⁹ of MgSO₄ has been in vogue for eclampsia since 1955, a series of trials by Lucas et al³⁴ and the Magpie trial collaborative group³⁵ have clearly demonstrated its efficacy only in the last decade, possibly due to its vasodilator effect³⁶ on eclamptic vasospasm³⁷. The largest study till date- the Magpie trial³⁵ done on 10,141 pregnant women with 5,071 receiving MgSO₄ revealed no significant adverse reactions.

Canavero et al, in a non-controlled study on 32 patients of severe head injury who received parenteral MgSO₄, noticed lower mortality at 6 months (12.5%) 38 as compared to other published literature (45-55%)³⁹. Based on the study demonstrating equivalent efficacy of intravenous and intramuscular regimens, we have used maintenance intramuscular regimen⁴⁰. Placebo was not given in the control group considering the neurological status of patients. Considering the absence of blinding and therefore a possible assessment bias, a larger multicentre double-blinded randomized controlled trial with long-term outcome, is needed to confirm the findings of the present study. A larger study may also reveal additional significant factors, which have not emerged so in our study given the modest sample size. Because of its low price, well-established safety profile³⁵ and critical physiological role⁵, MgSO₄ may emerge as a valuable

neuroprotective agent in the therapy of TBI.

CONCLUSION

Parenteral Magnesium sulphate appears to reduce mortality, intra-operative brain swelling, and has favorable influence on outcome at 3 months, when administered to patients presenting within 12 hours of closed traumatic brain injury with GCS 5 to 8 without any apparent significant adverse effects.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ghajar J. Traumatic brain injury. *Lancet* 2000: 356: 923–29.
- 2. Vink R, Nimmo AJ, Cernak I. An overview of new and novel pharmacotherapies for use in Traumatic Brain Injury.
 - J Exp Pharm Phy 2001; 28:919-21.
- 3. Reilly PL. Brain injury: the pathophysiology of the first hours. 'Talk and Die' revisited. *J Clin Neurosci* 2001; 8:398-403.
- 4. McIntosh TK, Juhler M, Wieloch T. Novel pharmacologic strategies in the treatment of experimental traumatic brain injury. *J Neurotrauma* 1998; 19: 731-69.
- van den Heuvel C, Vink R. The role of magnesium in traumatic brain injury. Clin Calcium 2004; 14:9-14.
- Heath DL, Vink R. Neuroprotective effect of MgSO₄ and MgCl₂ in closed head injury: A comparative phosphorus NMR study. *J Neurotrauma* 1998; 15: 183-9.
- 7. Heath DL, Vink R. Optimisation of magnesium therapy following severe diffuse axonal brain injury in rats. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1999; 288:180-6.
- 8. Smith DH, Okiyama K, Gennarelli TA, McIntosh TK. Magnesium treatment attenuates cognitive dysfunction following experimental brain injury. *Neurosci Lett* 1993; 157:211-4.
- 9. Pritchard JA, Cunningham FG, Pritchard SA. The Parkland memorial hospital protocol for treatment of Eclampsia: Evaluation of 245 cases. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1984; 148: 952-63.
- 10. Marshall LF, Marshall SB, Klauber MR: A new classification of head injury based on computerized

- tomography. *J Neurosurg* 1991; 75 (Suppl): S14-S20.
- 11. Jennett B, Bond M. Assessment of outcome after severe brain damage: a practical scale. *Lancet* 1975; 1:480-4.
- Graham DI, Gennarelli A. Trauma. In: Graham DI, Lantos PL, eds. Greenfield's Neuropathology. 6th ed. United Kingdom: Arnold, 1997:197-203.
- 13. Bullock MR, Lyeth BG, Muizelaar JP. Current status of neuroprotection trials for traumatic brain injury: Lessons learned from animal models and clinical studies.

 Neurosurgery 1999; 45:207-20.
- 14. Graham DI, Adams JH, Doyle D. Ischemic brain damage in fatal non-missile head injuries. *J Neurol Sci* 1978; 39:213-34.
- 15. Fox C, Ramsoomair D, Carter C. Magnesium: Its proven and potential clinical significance. South Med J 2001; 94:1195-201.
- Johnson JW, Ascher P. Voltage-dependent block by intracellular Mg2+ of N-methyl-D-aspartate-activated channels. *Biophys J* 1990; 57:1085–90.
- 17. Lin JY, Chung SY, Lin MC, Cheng FC. Effects of magnesium sulfate on energy metabolites and glutamate in the cortex during focal cerebral ischemia and reperfusion in the gerbil monitored by a dual-probe microdialysis technique. *Life Sci* 2002; 71: 803-11.
- 18. Iseri LT, French JH. Magnesium: nature's physiologic calcium blocker.

 Am Heart J 1984; 108:188 –93.
- 19. Okiyama K, Smith DH, Gennarelli TA, Simon RP, Leach M, McIntosh TK. The Sodium channel blocker and glutamate release inhibitor BW1003C87 and Magnesium attenuate regional cerebral edema following experimental brain injury in the rat. *J Neurochem* 1995; 64:802-9.
- 20. Vink R, McIntosh TK, Demediuk P, Faden AI. Decrease in total and free magnesium concentration following traumatic brain injury in rats. *Biochem Bioph Res Comm* 1987; 149: 594-9.
- 21. Vink R, McIntosh TK, Demediuk P, Weiner MW. Decline in intracellular free magnesium is associated with irreversible brain injury following brain trauma.

- J Biol Chem 1988; 263:757-61.
- 22. Polderman KH, Bloemers FW, Peerdeman SM, Girbes AR. Hypomagnesemia and hypophosphatemia at admission in patients with severe head injury.

 Crit Care Med 2000; 28:2022-5.
- 23. Bareyre FM, Saatman KE, Helfaer MA, et al. Alterations in ionized and total blood magnesium after experimental traumatic brain injury: relationship to neurobehavioral outcome and neuroprotective efficacy of magnesium chloride. *J Neurochem* 1999; 73:271-80.
- 24. Memon ZI, Altura BT, Benjamin JL, Cracco RQ, Altura BM. Predictive value of serum ionized but not total magnesium levels in head injuries. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 1995; 55: 671-7.
- 25. Andersen BJ, Marmarou A. Post-traumatic selective stimulation of glycolysis. *Brain Res* 1992; 585:184-9.
- 26. Kuroda Y, Inglis FM, Miller JD, McCulloch J, Graham DI, Bullock R. Transient glucose hypermetabolism after acute subdural hematoma in the rat. *J Neurosurg* 1992; 76:471-7.
- 27. McIntosh TK, Faden AI, Yamakami I, Vink R. Magnesium deficiency exacerbates and pretreatment improves outcome following traumatic brain injury in rats: 31P magnetic resonance spectroscopy and other studies. *I Neurotrauma* 1988; 5:17-31.
- 28. Bareyre FM, Saatman KE, Raghupathi R, McIntosh TK. Postinjury treatment with magnesium chloride attenuates cortical damage after traumatic brain injury in rats. *J Neurotrauma* 2000; 17: 1029-39.
- 29. Heath DL, Vink R. Delayed therapy with magnesium upto 24h following traumatic brain injury improves motor outcome. *J Neurosurg* 1999; 90:504-9.
- Tandon PN. Acute Subdural Haematoma: A Reappraisal. Neurol India 2001; 49: 3-10.

- 31. Unterberg AW, Stover J, Kress B, Kiening KL. Edema and brain trauma.

 Neuroscience 2004; 129: 1021-9.
- 32. Chi OZ, Pollak P, Weiss HR. Effects of magnesium sulfate and nifedipine on regional cerebral blood flow during middle cerebral artery ligation in the rat.
 - Arch Int Pharmacodyn Ther 1990; 304:196 -205.
- 33. van den Bergh WM, Algra A, van Kooten F, et al. MASH Study Group. Magnesium sulfate in aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: a randomized controlled trial.

 Stroke 2005; 36: 1011-5.
- Lucas MJ, Leveno KJ, Cunningham FG. A comparison of Magnesium sulphate with phenytoin for the prevention of eclampsia.
 N Eng J Med 1995; 333:201-5.
- 35. The Magpie trial collaborative group. Do women with pre-eclampsia, and their babies, benefit from magnesium sulphate? The Magpie trial: a randomized placebo controlled trial. *Lancet* 2002; 359: 1877-90.
- 36. Belfort MA, Moise KJ Jr. Effect of magnesium sulphate on maternal brain blood flow in preeclampsia: a randomized, placebo-controlled study.

 Am J Obstet Gynecol 1992; 167:661-6.
- 37. Vanden Veyyer IB, Belfort MA, Rowe TF, Moise KJ. Cerebral vasopasm in eclampsia: Transcranial Doppler ultrasound findings. *I Matern Fetal Med* 1994; 3: 9-13.
- 38. Canavero S, Bonicalzi V, Narcisi P. Safety of magnesium-lidocaine combination for severe head injury: the Turin lidomag pilot study. *Surg Neurol* 2003; 60: 165-9.
- 39. Braakman R, Gelpke GJ, Habbema JD, Maas AI, Minderhoud JM. Systematic selection of prognostic features in patients with severe head injury. *Neurosurgery* 1980; 6: 362-70.
- 40. Sibai BM, Graham JM, McCubbin JH. A comparison of intravenous and intramuscular magnesium sulfate regimens in preeclampsia. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1984; 15: 150: 728-33.